DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Dunsmuir Grade IF	Northern	147
EVALUATED BY	1 1	DATE
B. Duncan, Sgt. #107	09	09/16/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EN		mal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE 10/10/2009	4 - 6	*	era egz
FOLLOW-UF	REQUIRED No	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW J. Lee, Lt. #9603	50	DATE 09/16/20	009
1. EME	RGENCY INCIDENT MAN	AGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALUATED 09/16/2009	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	
a. Ar	re Area employees familiar	with various departmental publicati	ons which provide for EIN	1 planning?	✓ Yes	□ No·
as		nave a clear understanding of the De ergency Incident Management Plan nd Operations Manual?				□No
(1)	Is this philosophy conve	yed to:			(4) R	
	(a) Subordinates.	1.0	Nº A		✓ Yes	□ No
	(b) Public safety agenc	ies:			∵ Yes	□No
	(c) Emergency service	providers.		5.0	✓ Yes	□ No
c ls	an employee assigned to c	develop and routinely update EIM pl	ans?	ie sa Filia	✓ Yes	. No €
(1)	Is the employee familiar	with local resources and conditions	?	0.0091	√ Yes	□ No
(2)	Is input obtained from un	olformed and nonuniformed personn	el?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes:	□ No
(3)	is there adequate liaison	with emergency response and supp	oort agencies?	2	✓ Yes	-⊡ No
d. Ha	ve emergency incident pla	ns been evaluated?		= galara a	Yes	- □ No
(1)	Do plans include comma	nd-specific information?		37 P. ,642 0 ;	✓ Yes	□ No
(2)	Do plans contain a clear	statement of their purpose and obje	ctives?		✓ Yes	. □ No
	(a) Is there an assignment	ent of responsibility commensurate v	with appropriate authority	?	✓ Yes	□ No
.=	(b) Are there checklists	to assist in implementing the plans?)	1.01	✓ Yes	□ No
	(c) Is there a method for	notifying off-duty personnel?	- 7E I	3 - 2	✓ Yes	□ No
	(d) What methods are u	sed for acquiring necessary supplie	s and equipment? Verba	l and written request	through Di	vision,
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		0				
(3)	Do the plans refer to ICS	and CHP and/or command-specific	forms?	= 20	✓ Yes	□ No
(4)	Do the plans have inform agencies, Division and he	ation regarding communication and eadquarters?	coordination with other A	reas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
(5)	Are there plans for hazard	d-specific incidents?			✓ Yes	□ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	_ ` _			
- 10	(a)	Are there employee and property protection references in the command's EAP?	√ Yes	. No
	(b)	Bomb incident procedures.	✓ Yes	□ No
7	(c)	Fires	✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	Flood/dam fallures.	✓ Yes	□ No
4 -	(e)	Radiation incidents.	✓ Yes	□No
	(f)	Earthquakes.	√ Yes	□ No
9	(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms. N/A	Yes	· ☑ No
3 8	(b)	Civil unrest:	✓ Yes	□No
er Julia Rij	(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies. (IE. VOLCANIC ERUPTION)	✓ Yes	. □ No
	(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.	✓ Yes	: 🗌 No
Nan-	(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, and other emergency procedures is required.	✓ Yes	.□ No
(6)	Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following information:	1901	To Bury
4	(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations,	√. Yes	☐ No
E 757	(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area and other emergency service providers.	✓ Yes	No
19745	(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resources.	Yes:	⊸⊡ No
~	(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.	✓ Yes	No -
(7)	Doe	s the need for each plan still exists?	✓ Yes	□ No
HANTI S	(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?	✓ Yes	. □ No
	(b)	Can plans be tested?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(c)	Are they current?	✓ Yes	□No
(ii 100)	(d)	Do they work?	✓ Yes	□ No
(8)	Doe	s the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?	₹ Yes	. No
		Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual, or local plans?	✓ Yes	
. TRAIN	ING	EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED N/A N/A	CORRECTED)
a. Is t	here	n awareness of local training requirements?	✓ Yes	□No
b. Hav	ve red	uired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and use ICS in Area emergencies?	✓ Yes	□ No
(1)	Hav	other Area employees received familiarization training in ICS?	☑ Yes	□ No
(2)		rea personnel understand their responsibilities as incident commanders and their role in mission otance?	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Have	managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the use of HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
(4)	Is H	PG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

				Nac et a
(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS forms and their use?	✓ Yes	□ No	
(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills?	✓ Yes	□No	ng gaz n
(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70.13, Departmental Training Manual?	✓ Yes	□ No	est.
	(a) Are the records of required training current?	√ Yes	⊡ No	
c. Ha	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?		□No	1,000
(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency service providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	✓ Yes	□No	
(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their role?	✓ Yes	□No	ega "a ⊬ _e
(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers?	✓.Yes	□ No	E 13470
(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants?	✓ Yes	□No	174 Ar . 18
RELAT	TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES EVALUATED 09/16/2009 N/A	CORRECTED	2	is Ag
	es the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local sheriff's offices, police artments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel?	✓ Yes	⊡ No	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
(1)	Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel?	√ Yes	□No	SANTA CANAGE
45.				
(2)	Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?	✓ Yes		
o. Doe	s the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships			r skielies
Doe with	s the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships	✓ Yes	No	r skielies
Doe with	es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships their counterparts in allied agencies?	✓ Yes	□ No	A Hashist A Hashist A Hashist Control
(1) (2) c. Has	s the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships their counterparts in allied agencies? Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils?	✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No ∴ No ✓ No	A Hashist A Hashist A Hashist Control
(1) Doe with (2) Has pers	sthe commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships their counterparts in allied agencies? Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils? Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning? the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental	Yes Yes	No No No No No	A SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T
(1) (2) Has pers	sthe commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships their counterparts in allied agencies? Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils? Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning? the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental connel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents? Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and	<pre> Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes </pre>	No No No No No	A SECTION OF THE SECT
(1) (2) (4) (2) (2)	as the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships their counterparts in allied agencies? Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils? Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning? the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental connel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents? Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?	✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes	No No No No No	A SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T
2) Doe with (1) (2) (1) (2) (2) (3)	as the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships their counterparts in allied agencies? Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils? Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning? the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental connel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents? Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responsibility?	✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes	No No No No No No No	A SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T
(1) (2) (2) (3) (4)	Is the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships their counterparts in allied agencies? Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils? Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning? the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental connel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents? Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responsibility? Are existing plans current?	✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes	No No No No No No No No	A SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T
Doe with (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)	Is the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships their counterparts in allied agencies? Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils? Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning? the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental connel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents? Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responsibility? Are existing plans current? Do plans provide for adequate supervision?	✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes	No No No No No No No No No	A SECTION OF THE SECT
o. Doe with (1) (2) c. Has pers (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)	Is the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships their counterparts in allied agencies? Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils? Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning? the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental connel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents? Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responsibility? Are existing plans current? Do plans provide for adequate supervision? Do plans conform to CHP policy? Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident	✓ Yes	. No	A HANGLANG
b. Doe with (1) (2) c. Has pers (1) (3) (4) (5) (6) REPOR	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils? Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning? the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental connel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents? Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responsibility? Are existing plans current? Do plans provide for adequate supervision? Do plans conform to CHP policy? Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual?	<pre> Yes Yes </pre>	. No	A SECTION OF THE SECT

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

(2) .Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, F	Reporting of Highway Cor	nditions?	✓ Yes	☐ No	
(3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPN Incident Management Manual?	1 84.2, Hazardous Materia	als Transportation and	✓ Yes	No	454
7	(a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E)	prepared?	erE ² E	✓ Yes	: No	8
Š	(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releating the County Board of Supervisors and the County Heating		ardous material to	✓ Yes	□ No	3.47
5. EMEF	RGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES	09/16/2009	N/A	CORRECTE	D G-Sf -	21
a. Lis	st problems Area experienced in exercising EIM. Lack of ve	ehicles to transport person	nnel, even with combini	ng multiple	personne	el In
sa	me vehicle(s).		$x^{-\frac{1}{2}}x = cX_{\epsilon}$			
(1)	Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent red	currences of problems?	N/V	Yes	▼ No	ny
C+	(a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-co	mmand?	N/A	Yes	□ No	56
- '	(b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made	de to Area plans?	N/A	Yes	.′⊡:No	17 T
	(c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forward	ded through the chain-of-	command? N/A	☐ Yes	. No	
(2)	Have there been repeated problems with specific individua	als or agencies?	N/A	. ☐ Yes	✓ No	- 80
- 37	(a) Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to	resolve the issues?	N/A	Yes	\⊡ No	e 7
	(b) If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as	required?	N/A	Yes	No:	un i
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					

DATE 9-71-

DATE: ____

STATE OF CALIFÚRNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA: MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a phock in the UE valuated III have and/or the UE valuated II have and/or the UE valuated II have and/or the UE valuated II have and/or the UE

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION ☐ Formal Evaluation ☐ Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report Yes No BY	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	Ale	DATE 10/06	6/09
1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. Are Area employees familiar with various departmental publication	ons which provide for EIN	/l planning?	✓ Yes	□No
b. Does the Area commander have a clear understanding of the Deas outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Plan Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?			Yes	□No
(1) Is this philosophy conveyed to:				
(a) Subordinates.			√ Yes	□No
(b) Public safety agencies.			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Emergency service providers.		777-194	✓ Yes	□No
c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely update EIM pl	ans?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is the employee familiar with local resources and conditions	?		✓ Yes	□No
(2) Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniformed personn	el?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Is there adequate liaison with emergency response and sup	port agencies?		✓ Yes	□No
d. Have emergency incident plans been evaluated?			✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do plans include command-specific information?			✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpose and obje	ectives?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility commensurate	with appropriate authorit	y?	✓ Yes	□No
(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing the plans	?		✓ Yes	□No
(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personnel?			✓ Yes	□No
(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary supplied	es and equipment?			
Requisition process, maintain current on-hand supply				1,1-
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-specifi	c forms?		✓ Yes	□No
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communication and agencies, Division and headquarters?	d coordination with other	Areas, allied		□No
(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP	453R (Re	v. 6-06) OPI 009				
	(a)	Are there employee and property protection references	in the command's EAP?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Bomb incident procedures.			✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Fires.			✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	Flood/dam failures.			✓ Yes	□No
	(e)	Radiation incidents.			✓ Yes	□No
	(f)	Earthquakes.			✓ Yes	□No
	(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.			✓ Yes	□No
	(h)	Civil unrest.			✓ Yes	□No
	(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			✓ Yes	□No
	(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.			✓ Yes	□No
	(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, a	nd other emergency proc	cedures is required.	Yes	□No
	(6) Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following information	ation:			
	(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.			✓ Yes	- No
	(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area a	and other emergency sen	vice providers.	✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, person	onnel and material resour	rces.	✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.		12.07-14113-14-3	✓ Yes	□No
	(7) Do	pes the need for each plan still exists?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance	Emergency Incident Man ce Planning and Operatio	agement Planning ons Manual?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Can plans be tested?				□No
	(c)	Are they current?			✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	Do they work?			✓ Yes	□No
	(8) Do	pes the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?				□No
	(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50 Operations Manual, or local plans?	with HPM 50.1, Emergen 0.5, Civil Disturbance Pla	cy Incident nning and	✓ Yes	□No
2. TI	RAINING		EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
a.	Is there	e an awareness of local training requirements?	1,,,,		✓ Yes	□No
b.	Have r	equired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and u	use ICS in Area emergen	cies?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Ha	ave other Area employees received familiarization training	in ICS?		✓ Yes	□No
		Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incid ceptance?	ent commanders and the	ir role in mission	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Ha	ave managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the	use of HPG 50.3, Emerg	ency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) ls	HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?			✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHE	453R	(Rev. 6-06) OPI 009				
	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS f	forms and their use?		✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have rece emergency-related skills?	eived specialized train	ing or possess special	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in ha Departmental Training Manual?	azardous materials rec	juired by HPM 70.13,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Are the records of required training current?			✓ Yes	□No
С	. На	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	public safety agencies	and emergency service	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service provrole?	viders attended Area tr	aining to discuss their	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these age	encies and EMS provi	ders?	☐ Yes	✓No
	(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to a	all participants?		☐Yes	☑ No
3. F	RELA	TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
а		es the commander maintain a working relationship with per partments, state and county traffic engineers and highway			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county Services personnel?	and regional state Off	ice of Emergency		□No
	(2)	Is the commander a member of emergency organizations	s?	10111-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1	✓ Yes	□No
b	. Do wit	es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and super h their counterparts in allied agencies?	visors to establish goo	d working relationships	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-re	elated committees, org	anizations, or councils?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to	conduct mutual aid c	ontingency planning?	✓ Yes	□No
С	. Ha: per	s the command developed written emergency incident plar rsonnel and material resources in multi-agency responses	ns to provide for effect to emergency incident	ive use of departmental	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergence Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Plant			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied age	ncies who have EIM r	esponsibility?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are existing plans current?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?			✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance Management Planning and Operations Manual?	ce with HPM 50.1, Em	ergency Incident	✓ Yes	□No
4. F	REPO	RTING PROCEDURES	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
а		e reporting and documentation requirements for emergency inagers, and supervisors?		d by the Area commander,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report	rt of Unusual Occurre	nce?	✓ Yes	□ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	(2)	Are	major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Rep	orting of Highway	Conditions?		□No
	(3)		zardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 8- dent Management Manual?	4.2, Hazardous Ma	terials Transportation and	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) pr	epared?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Are there written procedures for reporting spills, release the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health	es, and dumping of n Officer?	hazardous material to	✓ Yes	□No
5. E	MER	GEN	CY INCIDENT RESPONSES	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a	. Lis	t prol	blems Area experienced in exercising EIM. Prolonged re	esponse times due t	o harsh inclement weathe	r (snow, icy co	onditions)
	wh	nich d	causes extremely harsh road conditions in an overall larg	e geographical rura	al area.		
	(1)	Has	s follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recu	rences of problems	s?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-com	mand?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made	to Area plans?	***************************************	✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwards	ed through the chair	n-of-command?		□No
	(2)	Hav	ve there been repeated problems with specific individuals	s or agencies?		☐ Yes	✓ No
		(a)	Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to re	esolve the issues?	N/A	☐ Yes	□No
		(b)	If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as re	equired?	N/A	☐Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
ALTURAS (170)	NORTHERN	170-03-09
EVALUATED BY		DATE
T. DUNN		09/29/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

		LUATION al Évaluation	[7] Infor	mal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
		REQUIRED	<u>[6] 111101</u>		COMMANDER'S REVI	FW	DATE	
				Correction Report				
	res	✓ No		BY	LT. M. P. MOI	UARITY	10/08/200)9
1. EN	VER!	GENCY INCIDE	NT MAN	AGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	YES	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED N/A	
a.	Are	Area employee	s familiar	with various departmental publication	ons which provide	e for EIM planning?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	as	outlined in HPM	50.1, Em	nave a clear understanding of the De ergency Incident Management Plan and Operations Manual?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is this philosop	hy conve	yed to:				
		(a) Subordina	tes.				✓ Yes	□No
,		(b) Public safe	ety ageno	ies.			✓ Yes	□No
		(c) Emergenc	y service	providers.			✓ Yes	□No
C.	ls a	ın employee ass	igned to	develop and routinely update EIM pla	ans?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is the employe	e familiar	with local resources and conditions	?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Is input obtaine	ed from u	niformed and nonuniformed personn	el?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is there adequ	ate liaiso	with emergency response and sup	port agencies?		✓ Yes	□No
d.	Ha	ve emergency ir	icident pl	ans been evaluated?				□No
	(1)	Do plans inclu	de comm	and-specific information?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Do plans conta	in a clea	statement of their purpose and obje	ectives?	2	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Is there ar	n assignm	ent of responsibility commensurate	with appropriate	authority?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Are there	checklists	to assist in implementing the plans	?		✓ Yes	□No
		(c) Is there a	method fo	or notifying off-duty personnel?			✓ Yes	□No
		(d) What met	hods are	used for acquiring necessary supplie	es and equipmer	t? ALTURAS AREA US	SES THE APPRO	OVED
		PURCH	ASE ANI	REQUISITION PROCESSES INC	CLUDING "X" i	NUMBERS, CAL-CARD	, AND PETTY (CASH.
								
	(3)	Do the plans r	efer to IC	S and CHP and/or command-specifi	c forms?		✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Do the plans h agencies, Divis		mation regarding communication and neadquarters?	d coordination w	ith other Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are there plan	s for haza	ard-specific incidents?			✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	(a)	Are there employee and property protection references i	in the command's EAP?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(b) Bomb incident procedures.					□ No
	(c)	Fires.			✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	Flood/dam failures.			✓ Yes	□No
	(e)	Radiation incidents.	44		✓ Yes	□No
	(f)	Earthquakes.			✓ Yes	□No
	(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(h)	Civil unrest.			✓ Yes	□No
	(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			✓ Yes	□No
	(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.			✓ Yes	□No
	(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, an	nd other emergency prod	cedures is required.	☐ Yes	☑ No
,	(6) Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following informa	ation:			
	(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area a	nd other emergency ser	vice providers.	✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, person	onnel and material resou	rces.	✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.			✓ Yes	□No
)	(7) Do	es the need for each plan still exists?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, E and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance			✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Can plans be tested?			✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Are they current?			✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	Do they work?			✓ Yes	□No
	(8) Do	es the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict v Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50 Operations Manual, or local plans?			√ Yes	□No
2. TR	AINING		YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a.	Is there	an awareness of local training requirements?	1123	jivo	✓ Yes	□No
		quired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and u	se ICS in Area emergen	cies?	✓ Yes	
-		/e other Area employees received familiarization training			 ✓ Yes	 □ No
		Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incide		ir role in mission		
		eptance?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Ha	ve managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the u	use of HPG 50.3, Emerg	ency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) ls	HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?			✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various IC	S forms and their u	se?		✓ Yes	□No
	(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills?					✓ Yes	□No
	(7) Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70.13, Departmental Training Manual?					✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are the records of required training current?						□ No
c.	Has	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted	1?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	of public safety age	ncies and e	emergency service	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service prole?	roviders attended A	Area training	to discuss their	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these	agencies and EMS	providers?		✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given	to all participants?			✓ Yes	□No
. R	ELAT	TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	YES		NO REQUIRED	CORRECTED	K
a.		es the commander maintain a working relationship with partments, state and county traffic engineers and highw			offices, police	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel?				✓ Yes	□No		
(2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?					√ Yes	□No	
b.		es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and sup h their counterparts in allied agencies?	pervisors to establis	h good wor	king relationships	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergence	y-related committee	es, organiza	tions, or councils?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personne	el to conduct mutua	l aid conting	gency planning?	✓ Yes	□No
c.		s the command developed written emergency incident presonnel and material resources in multi-agency respons			e of departmental	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emerg Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance	ency Incident Mana Planning and Oper	agement Pla ations Man	anning and ual?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied a	agencies who have	EIM respor	nsibility?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are existing plans current?	× 0 111 12 11 11			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?				✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?				✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Has the command developed a written EAP in accord Management Planning and Operations Manual?	ance with HPM 50.	1, Emerger	acy Incident	✓ Yes	□No
. R	EPO	RTING PROCEDURES	EVALUATED YES		ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTE	D
	Аге	e reporting and documentation requirements for emerge	ency incidents unde	erstood by t	ne Area commander,		□No
a.		anagers, and supervisors?				✓ Yes	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

(2) Are	major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?	✓ Yes	□No
(3		zardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transportation and		
-	Inci	ident Management Manual?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(a)	Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous material to the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?	✓ Yes	□No
5. EMER	RGEN	ICY INCIDENT RESPONSES EVALUATED YES NO	CORRECTED	
a. Li	st pro	blems Area experienced in exercising EIM. There have very been minor problems with radio communications	ation in the	past. Each
A	lturas	Area uniformed employee was recently assigned a hand held radio to facilitate direct allied agency com	munication	1.
(1)) Has	s follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recurrences of problems?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-command?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made to Area plans?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarded through the chain-of-command?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Ha	ve there been repeated problems with specific individuals or agencies?	Yes	☑ No
	(a)	Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to resolve the issues?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as required?	✓ Yes	□No
The Altu	ıras A	rea has an outstanding working relationship with allied agencies. Area personnel actively participate in	several mo	ck
emergen	cy inc	cidents each year involving various health, fire, and law enforcement agencies. Training is conducted an	d documen	ited as
required	Rea	uired reports are also completed and submitted in accordance with established policy and procedures		

Destroy Previous Editions

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER	
Clear Lake	Northern	151	
EVALUATED BY		DATE	
Sergeant S.M. Mo	oorhouse, #15269	09/28/2009	

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVAL	=	mal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE 09/30/2009			
FOLLOW-UP F	REQUIRED No	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW		DATE 9-2	9-09
1. EMER	GENCY INCIDENT MANA	AGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a. Are	e Area employees familiar	with various departmental publicati	ons which provide for El	M planning?	✓ Yes	□No
as		nave a clear understanding of the De ergency Incident Management Plan nd Operations Manual?			✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Is this philosophy conve	yed to:				
	(a) Subordinates.				✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Public safety agenc	sies.			✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Emergency service	providers.			✓ Yes	□No
c. Is a	an employee assigned to	develop and routinely update EIM p	lans?		✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Is the employee familiar	with local resources and conditions	?		✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Is input obtained from u	niformed and nonuniformed personr	nel?		✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Is there adequate liaison	n with emergency response and sup	port agencies?		✓ Yes	□No
d. Ha	ve emergency incident pla	ans been evaluated?			✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Do plans include comma	and-specific information?			✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Do plans contain a clear	r statement of their purpose and obj	ectives?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Is there an assignm	nent of responsibility commensurate	with appropriate authori	ty?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Are there checklists	s to assist in implementing the plans	?		✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there a method for	or notifying off-duty personnel?			✓ Yes	□No
	(d) What methods are	used for acquiring necessary suppli	es and equipment? Thr	ough Departmental char	inels and lo	ocal business
	merchants.					
(3)	Do the plans refer to ICS	S and CHP and/or command-specif	ic forms?		Yes	□No
(4)	Do the plans have informagencies, Division and h	mation regarding communication an neadquarters?	d coordination with othe	r Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
(5)	Are there plans for haza	ard-specific incidents?			✓ Yes	□ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(a) Are there employee and property protection references	in the command's EA	ιP?	✓ Yes	□No
(b) Bomb incident procedures.			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Fires.			✓ Yes	□No
(0) Flood/dam failures.			✓ Yes	□No
(€) Radiation incidents.			Yes	□No
(f	Earthquakes.			✓ Yes	□No
(9) Tsunamis/coastal storms.			☐ Yes	☑ No
(h) Civil unrest.			Yes	□No
(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			✓ Yes	□No
(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.			✓ Yes	□No
(k) For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire,	and other emergency	procedures is required.	✓ Yes	□No
(6) D	o plans have supporting annexes with the following inform	nation:			
(a) Emergency Response Center Operations,			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area	and other emergency	service providers.	✓ Yes	□No
(c) Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, pers	sonnel and material re	sources.	✓ Yes	□No
(0) 72-hour self-sufficient operation.			✓ Yes	□No
(7) D	oes the need for each plan still exists?			✓ Yes	□No
(a) Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbar			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Can plans be tested?			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Are they current?			✓ Yes	□No
(0) Do they work?			✓ Yes	□No
(8) D	oes the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			✓ Yes	□No
(8) Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 5 Operations Manual, or local plans?			✓ Yes	□No
2. TRAININ	S	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
a. Is the	re an awareness of local training requirements?			✓ Yes	□No
b. Have	required employees been trained to initiate, maintain and	use ICS in Area emer	gencies?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) H	ave other Area employees received familiarization training	g in ICS?		✓ Yes	□No
	o Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incicceptance?	dent commanders and	I their role in mission	✓ Yes	□No
(3) H	ave managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the	use of HPG 50.3, Em	nergency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
(4) Is	HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?	1		✓ Yes	□ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

		· / - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS for	ms and their use?		✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have receiv emergency-related skills?	or possess special	✓ Yes	□No	
	(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in haza Departmental Training Manual?	d by HPM 70.13,	✓ Yes	□No	
		(a) Are the records of required training current?		✓ Yes	□No	
C	На	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of pub providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	olic safety agencies and	emergency service	√ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service provide role?	ers attended Area trainir	ng to discuss their	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agend	cies and EMS providers	?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all	participants?		✓ Yes	□No
3. F	RELA	TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	EVALUATED Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
а		es the commander maintain a working relationship with perso partments, state and county traffic engineers and highway de		offices, police	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel?					□No
	(2)	Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?	✓ Yes	□No		
b	 Does the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships with their counterparts in allied agencies? 					□No
	(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-relati	ted committees, organiz	ations, or councils?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to o	onduct mutual aid contir	ngency planning?	✓ Yes	☐ No
С		s the command developed written emergency incident plans rsonnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to		ise of departmental	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Plann			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agenci	ies who have EIM respo	nsibility?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)	Are existing plans current?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?			✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?			✓ Yes	□ No
	(6)	Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance Management Planning and Operations Manual?	with HPM 50.1, Emerge	ency Incident	✓ Yes	□No
4. F	REPO	RTING PROCEDURES	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a		e reporting and documentation requirements for emergency in anagers, and supervisors?	131		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report of	of Unusual Occurrence?		✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

	(2) Are	e major state route closures reported per GO 100	.46, Reporting of Highway	Conditions?	✓ Yes	□No
		zardous material spills and releases reported per ident Management Manual?	r HPM 84.2, Hazardous Ma	aterials Transportation and	✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP	407E) prepared?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Are there written procedures for reporting spills the County Board of Supervisors and the County		f hazardous material to	✓ Yes	□No
5. EM	ERGEN	ICY INCIDENT RESPONSES	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a.	List pro	blems Area experienced in exercising EIM. The	re are several radio dead sp	oots within the county. Thi	s has repeated	lly been
	address	sed with Department radio technicians.				
	(1) Ha	s follow-up investigation been conducted to previous	ent recurrences of problem	ns?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(a)	Is the investigation forwarded through the chair	n-of-command?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Are problems corrected and appropriate chang	es made to Area plans?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(c)	Are corrected actions taken, documented, and	forwarded through the cha	in-of-command?	Yes	✓ No
	(2) Ha	ve there been repeated problems with specific in	dividuals or agencies?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(a)	Has the Area commander made reasonable eff	forts to resolve the issues?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	If not resolved, has the Division chief been noti	fied as required?		✓ Yes	□No
The ra	dio dea	d spots issue is not exclusive to the Clear Lake A	Area. In fact, most CHP of	ffices located in rural areas	with rough te	rrain
experi	ence the	e same issues. Area will continue to work with I	DGS and IMD, in an effort	to ensure everything that o	an be done is	being done

Destroy Previous Editions

improve radio communications.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Clear Lake	Northern	16	
Inspected by:	***************************************	Date: 09/28/09	
Sergeant S.M.	Moorhouse, #15269		

number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This	Inspection docume	Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or for number. Under "Forward to:" enter the neint shall be utilized to document innovative proction plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be	ractices, suggestions for statewide
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command Level Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection:	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes ⊠ No		rd to: Division ate: 10/10/09	
Chapter Inspection: HPG 22. Inspector's Comments Regar		CONTRACTOR OF THE SECTION OF THE SEC	
None. Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	de Improvement:	
None.	1310111	in mpro romona	
Inspector's Findings:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

1.EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

EIM checklists contained within HPM 50.3, Emergency Response Guide, are maintained within the Area's Emergency Operations Planning (EOP) manual. Copies of the manual are maintained in readily accessible locations in the commander's office, the sergeants' office, and in the clerical office. Both uniformed and non-uniformed employees are required to review revisions annually.

2. TRAINING

All Area personnel receive on going local, Area and Departmental related training during briefings and training days. Personnel are updated on all State Warning Center Intelligence bulletins. Training consisted of reviewing pertinent policy and procedures contained within HPM 50.3, 50.5, the EAP, EOP, and EIM.

3. RELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES:

Area management and supervision continues to maintain a close relationship with allied agencies within the county. The commander meets regularly with all law enforcement heads in the county at the Chief's Meeting. In this forum, emergency and disaster preparedness issues are routinely addressed. All emergency responses have been in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Planning Manual; HPM 50.3, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual and HPM 100.67, Law Enforcement Assistance and Inter-jurisdictional Operations Manual.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Clear Lake	Northern	16
Inspected by:		Date: 09/28/09
Sergeant S.M. I	Moorhouse, #15269	

4	REPORTING		IDEC.
4.	KERUK HING	PRUMPIM	JKES.

Random CHP 407E's and Proposition 65 letters were pulled from files and found to contain all required elements. All other reporting procedures appear to comply with policy.

5. EMERGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES:

All emergency incident responses follow Departmental protocol and policy.

Commander's Response:
Concur or
Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)

The following comments have been added to Section 5 of the Emergency Incident Management Planning form (CHP 453R): The radio dead spots issue is not exclusive to the Lake County Area. In fact, most CHP offices located in rural areas with rough terrain experience the same issues. Area will continue to work with DGS and IMD, in an effort to ensure everything that can be done is being done to improve the radio communications.

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Clear Lake	Northern	16
Inspected by:	- h	Date: 09/28/09
Sergeant S.M. M		

Required Action		
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		
5. EMERGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES		
a.(1)(b)(c) The only critical on-going problem is the outlying areas of the county. Radio technicians are phasing in new radios and repeaters statewide.		
2		
Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	9-29-09 DATE
	I. M. Morhome	10-5-09
Reviewer discussed this report with employee	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE 1	DATE
Concur Do not concur	Stephen BUL	10-8-09

Memorandum

Date:

September 15, 2009

To:

Northern Division

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Humboldt Area

File No.:

125.11879.11879

Subject:

CHAPTER 16, EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

Attached is Humboldt Area's Chapter 16 Inspection conducted by Officer Martin Abshire. If you should have any questions or concerns, please contact me at (707) 822-5981.

D. A. CANNON, Captain

Commander

Attachments

cc: Area File

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 2	P	а	g	е	1	of	2
-------------	---	---	---	---	---	----	---

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Humboldt	Northern	16	
Inspected by:		Date:	
M. Abshire. Officer, 13635		8-10-09	

Type OF INSPECTION Division Level Command Level Executive Office Level Follow-up Required: Power 10-15-2009 Chapter Inspection: Emergency Incident Management Planning Inspector's Commander is a member of the Law Enforcement Chief's Association of Humboldt (LECAH). Relationships between other emergency incident management agencies are bolstered as a result of his membership. Command Suggestions for Statewide improvement: None. Inspector's Findings: Humboldt Area's Emergency Action Plan and Emergency Operations Plan contain both general, and command specific plans of action.	INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required.					
□ Division Level □ Command Level □ Inspection: □ Executive Office Level □ 24 □ Attachments Included Follow-up Required: □ Forward to: Northern □ Division □ Due Date: 10-15-2009 Chapter Inspection: Emergency Incident Management Planning Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices: Humboldt's Area Commander is a member of the Law Enforcement Chief's Association of Humboldt (LECAH). Relationships between other emergency incident management agencies are bolstered as a result of his membership. Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement: None. Inspector's Findings: Humboldt Area's Emergency Action Plan and Emergency Operations Plan contain both general, and	TYPE OF INSPECTION		Total hours expended	d on the	Corrective Action Plan Included	
Follow-up Required: Yes No Due Date: 10-15-2009	☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level	vel				
Division Due Date: 10-15-2009 Chapter Inspection: Emergency Incident Management Planning Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices: Humboldt's Area Commander is a member of the Law Enforcement Chief's Association of Humboldt (LECAH). Relationships between other emergency incident management agencies are bolstered as a result of his membership. Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement: None. Inspector's Findings: Humboldt Area's Emergency Action Plan and Emergency Operations Plan contain both general, and	Executive Office Level		24		Attachments Included	
Chapter Inspection: Emergency Incident Management Planning Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices: Humboldt's Area Commander is a member of the Law Enforcement Chief's Association of Humboldt (LECAH). Relationships between other emergency incident management agencies are bolstered as a result of his membership. Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement: None. Inspector's Findings: Humboldt Area's Emergency Action Plan and Emergency Operations Plan contain both general, and	FOIIOW-IID REGILIZED.					
Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices: Humboldt's Area Commander is a member of the Law Enforcement Chief's Association of Humboldt (LECAH). Relationships between other emergency incident management agencies are bolstered as a result of his membership. Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement: None. Inspector's Findings: Humboldt Area's Emergency Action Plan and Emergency Operations Plan contain both general, and	☐ Yes	Due Da	ate: 10-15-2009		10-1	
Humboldt's Area Commander is a member of the Law Enforcement Chief's Association of Humboldt (LECAH). Relationships between other emergency incident management agencies are bolstered as a result of his membership. Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement: None. Inspector's Findings: Humboldt Area's Emergency Action Plan and Emergency Operations Plan contain both general, and	Chapter Inspection: Emergency	y Inc	ident Managemen	Planning		
(LECAH). Relationships between other emergency incident management agencies are bolstered as a result of his membership. Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement: None. Inspector's Findings: Humboldt Area's Emergency Action Plan and Emergency Operations Plan contain both general, and	Inspector's Comments Regarding	ng In	novative Practices	S TANDAMINISTA		
None. Inspector's Findings: Humboldt Area's Emergency Action Plan and Emergency Operations Plan contain both general, and	(LECAH). Relationships between other emergency incident management agencies are bolstered as a					
None. Inspector's Findings: Humboldt Area's Emergency Action Plan and Emergency Operations Plan contain both general, and	Command Suggestions for State	ewid	e Improvement			
Inspector's Findings: Humboldt Area's Emergency Action Plan and Emergency Operations Plan contain both general, and			o improvement,			
Humboldt Area's Emergency Action Plan and Emergency Operations Plan contain both general, and	ivone.					
	Inspector's Findings:					
Humboldt Area has added a 72-hour self-sufficient operation plan to the Emergency Action Plan and Emergency Operations Plan.						
Commander's Response: ☐ Concur or ☐ Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)						
2 La contrata of Do Hot Contrata Chair God Contrata Chair God Contrata Chair Contrata Chair Contrata Chair Contrata Chair Ch		51154	5. <u>D</u> 56 (40)	Ca. (DC 1401 CO110	a. Chan accament sade for responde	
Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)						
None.	None.					

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Humboldt	Northern	16
Inspected by:		Date:
M. Abshire, Officer, 13635		8-10-09

			WANTED AND TO	STANSON POS	
Required Action	D-1			*	
		بتظارينا لدمائي بالانا			
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline					
No corrective action is necessary.					

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE A CANNON	DATE 9/16/09
	HNSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE (Fam.)	DATE 9 1669
Reviewer discussed this report with employee	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Humboldt Area	Northern Division	125
EVALUATED BY		DATE
M. Abshire, # 13635		08/10/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EV		ormal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
	P REQUIRED	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	annon	DATE 9	1. 69
1. EMER	RGENCY INCIDENT:MA	NAGEMENT (EIM))PLANNING	M. Abshire	Yes	CORRECTE	1109
a. Ar	re Area employees famili	ar with various departmental publicati	ons which provide for EI	√ planning?	✓ Yes	□No
as		r have a clear understanding of the De mergency Incident Management Plan and Operations Manual?			✓ Yes	□No
(1)) Is this philosophy conv	veyed to:				
	(a) Subordinates.				✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Public safety ager	ncies.			✓Yes	□No
	(c) Emergency service	e providers			✓ Yes	□No
c. Is	an employee assigned to	develop and routinely update EIM pl	ans?		✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Is the employee familia	ar with local resources and conditions	?		✓ Yes	□No
(2)	ls input obtained from (uniformed and nonuniformed personn	nel?		✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Is there adequate liaiso	on with emergency response and sup	port agencies?		✓ Yes	□No
d. Ha	ave emergency incident p	lans been evaluated?			✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Do plans include comm	nand-specific information?			√Yes	□No
(2)	Do plans contain a clea	ar statement of their purpose and obje	ectives?		√ Yes	☐ No
	(a) Is there an assignr	ment of responsibility commensurate	with appropriate authority	y?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Are there checklist	s to assist in implementing the plans?	?		✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there a method t	for notifying off-duty personnel?			✓ Yes	□No
	(d) What methods are	used for acquiring necessary supplie	es and equipment?			
(3)	Do the plans refer to IC	S and CHP and/or command-specific	c forms?		✓ Yes	□No
(4)	Do the plans have infor agencies, Division and	mation regarding communication and headquarters?	coordination with other	Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
(5)	Are there plans for haza	ard-specific incidents?	,		✓ Yes	□No
		"				

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

		(a)	Are there employee and property protection references in the command's EAP?	[/ Yes	□ No
		(b)	Bomb incident procedures.	[J	Yes	□No
		(c)	Fires.	[,	/ Yes	□No
		(d)	Flood/dam failures.		/ Yes	□No
		(e)	Radiation incidents.	. v	7 Yes	☐ No
		(f)	Earthquakes.	[y	/ Yes	□No
		(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.	v	/ Yes	□No
		(h)	Civil unrest.	v	/ Yes	□No
		(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.	[v	Yes	□No
		(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.	₀₆	? Yes	□No
		(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, and other emergency procedures is re	quired.	' Yes	□No
	(6	6) Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following information:			
		(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.	[~	Yes	□No
		(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area and other emergency service provider	s. [' Yes	□No
		(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resources.	[~	'] Yes	□No
		(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.] Yes	✓ No
	(7) Doe	s the need for each plan still exists?	[2] Yes	☐ No
		(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Pla and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?] Yes	□No
		(b)	Can plans be tested?] Yes	□No
7.7		(c)	Are they current?		Z Yes	□No
		(d)	Do they work?	[4] Yes	□No
	(8) Doe	s the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?	<u> </u>] Yes	□No
			Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual, or local plans?] Yes	□No
2.	TRAI	NING	EVALUATED ACTION REQUIR M. Abshire Yes		RRECTED	
	a. Is	there a	an awareness of local training requirements?	4	Yes	☐ No
	b. Ha	ve red	uired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and use ICS in Area emergencies?] Yes	□No
	(1)	Have	other Area employees received familiarization training in ICS?] Yes	□No
	(2)		rea personnel understand their responsibilities as incident commanders and their role in miss ptance?] Yes	□No
	(3)	Have	managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the use of HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident	Guide? [✓	Yes	□No
	(4)	Is H	PG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?] Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(5	5) Ar	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS forms and their use?			✓ Yes	☐ No
(6		Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills?			✓ Yes	□No
(7		ive employees been provided with annual training in haza partmental Training Manual?	rdous materials req	uired by HPM 70.13,	✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Are the records of required training current?			✓ Yes	□No
c. Ha	as inte	eragency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
(1)		area personnel attend and participate in meetings of pub viders to explain the Department's role in EIM?	lic safety agencies a	and emergency service	✓ Yes	□No
(2)) Ha	ve public safety agencies and emergency service provide e?	rs attended Area tra	ining to discuss their	Yes	✓ No
(3)) Do	Area personnel participate in exercises with these agenci	es and EMS provid	ers?	✓ Yes	□No
(4)) Are	exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all p	articipants?		✓ Yes	□ No
. RELA	TION	SHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	M. Abshire	ACTION REQUIRED	corrected N/A	
		e commander maintain a working relationship with persor nents, state and county traffic engineers and highway dep			✓ Yes	□No
(1)		es he/she maintain a working relationship with county and vices personnel?	regional state Offic	e of Emergency	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	ls th	ne commander a member of emergency organizations?	nmander a member of emergency organizations?			
b. Do wit	es the	e commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisor ir counterparts in allied agencies?	s to establish good	working relationships	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Are	lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-relate	d committees, orga	nizations, or councils?	☐ Yes	✓ No
(2)	Hav	re Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to co	nduct mutual aid co	ntingency planning?	✓ Yes	□No
		command developed written emergency incident plans to el and material resources in multi-agency responses to el			✓ Yes	□No
(1)		those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency In rations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Plannin			✓ Yes	□ No
(2)	Wer	re the plans developed in coordination with allied agencie	s who have EIM res	sponsibility?	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Are	existing plans current?			✓ Yes	□No
(4)	Do p	plans provide for adequate supervision?			✓ Yes	□No
(5)	Do p	plans conform to CHP policy?			✓ Yes	□ No
(6)		the command developed a written EAP in accordance w agement Planning and Operations Manual?	ith HPM 50.1, Eme	gency Incident	✓ Yes	□No
REPOR	RTING	G PROCEDURES	evaluated M. Abshire	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED N/A	
		rting and documentation requirements for emergency incre, and supervisors?	idents understood l	by the Area commander,	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Are	unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report of	Unusual Occurrenc	e?	✓ Yes	□ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(2	2) Are	e major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Re	porting of Highway Cond	tions?	✓ Yes	□No
(3	3) Ha Inc	zardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 8 ident Management Manual?	4.2, Hazardous Materials	Transportation and	✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) pa	repared?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Are there written procedures for reporting spills, release the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health	es, and dumping of hazar	dous material to	✓ Yes	□No
5. EME	RGEN	CY INCIDENT RESPONSES	EVALUATED M. Abshire	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED N/A)
a. Li	ist prol	blems Area experienced in exercising EIM. Humboldt A	rea has had no problems	exercising EIM.		
(1) Has	s follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recur	rrences of problems?		Yes	□No
	(a)	Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-com	mand?		☐ Yes	□No
	(b)	Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made	to Area plans?		Yes	□No
	(c)	Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarde	d through the chain-of-co	ommand?	Yes	□No
(2) Hav	re there been repeated problems with specific individuals	or agencies?		☐ Yes	□No
	(a)	Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to re	solve the issues?		Yes	□No
	(b)	If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as re-	equired?		☐ Yes	□ No
1_{π}						
d=(6) d	Area d	loes not have a 72 hour self-sufficient operation annex in	the Area EOP or EAP.	Sergeant D. Tupen wi	II add a 72 !	hour self-
sufficient	t opera	tion plan annex to the Humboldt Area Emergency Oper	ations Manual.			
2.						
c. (2) - Pi	ublic s	afety agencies have not attended Area training days to d	liscuss their roles in eme	ergency incident manag	gement, Hu	ımboldt Area
Sergeant	D. Ky	le will invite public safety agencies to future Area traini	ng days.			
3.						
b₊(1) - Tl	he Hur	nboldt Area Commander attends monthly Law Enforcer	ment Chief's Association	of Humboldt (LECAl-	I) meetings	to discuss
law enfor	cemen	nt issues with allied agencies in the area. Humboldt Are	a Sergeants are not mem	bers of emergency-rela	ıted commi	ttees,
		councils. However, sergeants regularly attend emerger				
agencies (lo con	duct mutual aid training.				

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

NG

EMERGENCY INCIDENT	MANAGEMENT	PLANNI
0110 4500 (0 0 00) 001 000		

EMERGENCY INCIDEN	IMANAGEMENI	PLANNI
CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009	9	

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
	101	16
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Officer, A. Erickson, #15823		09/10/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF				mal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE	***************************************		
FOLLOW-			RED No	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	P CHIE	DATE (4/09
1. EMI	ERG	3EN	CY INCIDENT MAN	AGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	-11 - (
a.	Are	Are	a employees familia	r with various departmental publicati	ons which provide for EIM	M planning?	✓ Yes	□No
	as o	outlin	ned in HPM 50.1, En	have a clear understanding of the Denergency Incident Management Plarand Operations Manual?			✓ Yes	□No
((1)	ls th	nis philosophy conve	eyed to:				
		(a)	Subordinates.				✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Public safety agend	cies.			✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Emergency service	providers.		-	✓ Yes	□No
c.	ls a	n en	nployee assigned to	develop and routinely update EIM p	lans?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls th	ne employee familia	r with local resources and conditions	?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	ls ir	nput obtained from u	niformed and nonuniformed person	nel?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is th	nere adequate liaiso	n with emergency response and sup	port agencies?		✓ Yes	□No
d.	Haν	/e er	mergency incident pl	ans been evaluated?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do	plans include comm	and-specific information?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Do	plans contain a clea	r statement of their purpose and obj	ectives?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is there an assignment	nent of responsibility commensurate	with appropriate authorit	y?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Are there checklist	s to assist in implementing the plans	?		✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	is there a method f	or notifying off-duty personnel?			✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	What methods are	used for acquiring necessary suppli	es and equipment? In th	ne event of an emergency	/ incident a	and upon
			establishing an In	cident Commander (IC), the IC will	assign a Logistics OIC,	responsible for the procu	arement of	supplies and
			equipment using (CHP 703B. Depending on the scale	of the incident the Emer	gency Resource Center	may also b	e activated.
	(3)	Do	the plans refer to IC	S and CHP and/or command-specif	ic forms?		✓ Yes	□No
	(4)		the plans have inforencies, Division and	mation regarding communication an headquarters?	d coordination with other	Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are	there plans for haz	ard-specific incidents?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	(a)	· Are there employee and property protection references i	in the command's EAP?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(b)	Bomb incident procedures.			✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Fires.			✓ Yes	□ No
	(d)	Flood/dam failures.			✓ Yes	☐ No
	(e)	Radiation incidents.		ĵ.	✓ Yes	□ No
	(f)	Earthquakes.			✓ Yes	□No
	(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.			✓ Yes	□No
	(h)	Civil unrest.			✓ Yes	□No
	(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			Yes	□No
	(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.			✓ Yes	□No
	(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, a	nd other emergency proc	cedures is required.	✓ Yes	□No
	(6) Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following information	ation:			
	(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.			✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area a	and other emergency sen	vice providers.	✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, person	onnel and material resou	rces.	✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.			✓ Yes	□No
	(7) Do	the need for each plan still exists?				□No
	(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance			✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Can plans be tested?			✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Are they current?			✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	Do they work?			✓ Yes	□No
	(8) Do	pes the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50 Operations Manual, or local plans?			✓ Yes	□No
2. TF	RAINING	1	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
a.	Is ther	e an awareness of local training requirements?	25.57	1	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Have r	equired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and u	use ICS in Area emerger	ncies?	✓ Yes	No
		ave other Area employees received familiarization training			✓ Yes	No
		o Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incid	lent commanders and the	eir role in mission	[Z] Vaa	□No
		ceptance?			✓ Yes	
	ac		use of HPG 50.3, Emerg	ency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS fo	orms and their use?		✓ Yes	□No
(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have rece emergency-related skills?	ived specialized traini	ng or possess special	√ Yes	□No
(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in ha Departmental Training Manual?	zardous materials req	lired by HPM 70.13,	√ Yes	□No
	(a) Are the records of required training current?			✓ Yes	□No
Has	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of p providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	oublic safety agencies	and emergency service	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service prov role?	iders attended Area tra	aining to discuss their	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these age	encies and EMS provid	ers?	✓ Yes	□No
(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to a	Il participants?		✓ Yes	□No
ELA	TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
				✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county services personnel?	and regional state Offi	ce of Emergency	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Is the commander a member of emergency organizations	?			□No
		risors to establish good	I working relationships	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-re	lated committees, orga	anizations, or councils?	Yes	□No
(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to	conduct mutual aid co	ontingency planning?	✓ Yes	□No
				✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergenc Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Pla	by Incident Manageme nning and Operations	nt Planning and Manual?	√ Yes	□No
(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied age	ncies who have EIM re	esponsibility?	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Are existing plans current?			✓ Yes	□No
(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?	8	X NYTHI ETIT	✓ Yes	□No
(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?			✓ Yes	□No
(6)	Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance Management Planning and Operations Manual?	ce with HPM 50.1, Eme	ergency Incident	✓ Yes	□No
EPO	RTING PROCEDURES	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
. Are	e reporting and documentation requirements for emergency	y incidents understood	by the Area commander,	[Z] Van	□No
	inagers, and supervisors?			✓ Yes	
	(6) (7) Has (1) (2) (3) (4) ELAT Doo with (1) (2) Has per (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)	 (6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have recemergency-related skills? (7) Have employees been provided with annual training in hat Departmental Training Manual? (a) Are the records of required training current? Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? (1) Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of providers to explain the Department's role in EIM? (2) Have public safety agencies and emergency service provider? (3) Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these ages. (4) Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to a ELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES. Does the commander maintain a working relationship with perdepartments, state and county traffic engineers and highway of the commander and county traffic engineers and highway of the commander and county traffic engineers and highway of the commander and county traffic engineers and highway of the commander and county traffic engineers and highway of the commander and county traffic engineers and highway of the commander and county traffic engineers and highway of the commander and county traffic engineers and highway of the commander and the engineers of emergency organizations. (2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations. (3) Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-received the command developed written emergency incident plant personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to the command developed written emergency incident plant personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to the command developed in coordination with allied agency personnel to the command developed in coordination with allied agency personnel coordination with allied agency person	(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training emergency-related skills? (7) Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required pepartmental Training Manual? (a) Are the records of required training current? Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? (1) Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies a providers to explain the Department's role in EIM? (2) Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area fra role? (3) Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS provid to Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants? ELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES EVALUATED Does the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local sher departments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel? (1) Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Offic Services personnel? (2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations? Does the commander a member of emergency organizations? Does the commander and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organization and the provide for effecting personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incident Management Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations (2) Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM recommander existing plans current? (4) Do plans provide for adequate supervision? (5) Do plans conform to CHP policy? (6) Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual?	(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills? (7) Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70.13, Departmental Training Manual? (a) Are the records of required training current? Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? (1) Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency service providers to explain the Department's role in EIM? (2) Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their role? (3) Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers? (4) Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants? ELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES EVALUATED Does the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local sheriff's offices, police departments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel? (1) Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel? (2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations? Does the commander a member of emergency organizations? Does the commander and member of emergency organizations? (2) Is the commander and the employ of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils? (3) Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils? (4) Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents? (4) Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? (5) Do plans conform to CHP policy? (6) Have Area personnel developed a written EAP in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Mana	(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills? (7) Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70.13, Departmental Training Manual? (a) Are the records of required training current? Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? (1) Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency service providers to explain the Department's role in EIM? (2) Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their role? (3) Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers? (4) Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participant? ELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES ELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES ELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED and the service of the service of the separation of the service of the separation of

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	(2)	Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?			✓ Yes	□No	
	(3)		zardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 8 dent Management Manual?	4.2, Hazardous M	aterials Transportation and	√ Yes	□No
70011		(a)	Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) p	repared?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releas the County Board of Supervisors and the County Healt		of hazardous material to	✓ Yes	□ No
5. EN	/IER	GEN	CY INCIDENT RESPONSES	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	List	prol	blems Area experienced in exercising EIM. None				
SHEET STATE	(1)	Has	s follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recu	ırrences of proble	ms?	☐ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-con	nmand?		☐ Yes	□No
		(b)	Are problems corrected and appropriate changes mad	e to Area plans?		☐ Yes	□No
		(c)	Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forward	ed through the ch	ain-of-command?	☐ Yes	□No
	(2)	Ha	ve there been repeated problems with specific individua	ls or agencies?		☐ Yes	□No
		(a)	Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to	resolve the issues	?	☐ Yes	□No
		(b)	If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as	required?		☐ Yes	□No
		_					

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Northern Division	101	16	
Inspected by:		Date:	
A. Erickson		9/10/2009	

Page 1 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, con	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pr	Il in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter kt level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide e used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command L ☐ Executive Office Level	₋evel	Total hours expende inspection: 3	d on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	ird to:		
☐ Yes ⊠ No	Due D	ate: 9/24/2009		
Chapter Inspection: 16 Inspector's Comments Regard Command Suggestions for S	11-12-		S:	
Inspector's Findings:				
There were no discrepancies	noted.			
Commander's Response: 🗵	Conc	ur or 🗌 Do Not Cor	ncur (Do Not Cond	cur shall document basis for response)

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command: Northern Division	Division:	Chapter:
Inspected by: A. Erickson		Date: 9/10/2009

프로그리아 트로그리아 아이를 다고 프로프로 아이트로 계속하는 그는 것이 되는 것이 그를 하게 하지 않는데 있는데 없는데 없어 없다고 있다. 나는 모든데 본래	
Required Action	ter and all the second
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

20	
COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE /	DATE
	_
11 1 Santon hi	10-9-09
INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
Ann S. Errelisin	9-10-09
REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
Le State	9/10/09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE Ann S. Endson

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Clear Lake	Northern	16
Inspected by:		Date: 09/28/09
Sergeant S.M. I	Moorhouse, #15269	

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, con	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forward to:" enter the number innovation to document innovation.	ne next ive prac	ctices, suggestions for statewide
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command L Executive Office Level	_evel	Total hours expended on the inspection:		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to: Division		
☐ Yes	Due D	ate: 10/10/09		
Chapter Inspection: HPG 22.	1, CHA	PTER 16		
Inspector's Comments Regar	rding Ir	novative Practices:	N TOTAL	
None.		ž.		
Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	le Improvement:		
None.				
Inspector's Findings				

1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

EIM checklists contained within HPM 50.3, Emergency Response Guide, are maintained within the Area's Emergency Operations Planning (EOP) manual. Copies of the manual are maintained in readily accessible locations in the commander's office, the sergeants' office, and in the clerical office. Both uniformed and non-uniformed employees are required to review revisions annually.

2. TRAINING

All Area personnel receive on going local, Area and Departmental related training during briefings and training days. Personnel are updated on all State Warning Center Intelligence bulletins. Training consisted of reviewing pertinent policy and procedures contained within HPM 50.3, 50.5, the EAP, EOP, and EIM.

3. RELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES:

Area management and supervision continues to maintain a close relationship with allied agencies within the county. The commander meets regularly with all law enforcement heads in the county at the Chief's Meeting. In this forum, emergency and disaster preparedness issues are routinely addressed. All emergency responses have been in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Planning Manual, HPM 50.3, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual and HPM 100.67, Law Enforcement Assistance and Inter-jurisdictional Operations Manual.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Clear Lake	Northern	16
Inspected by:		Date: 09/28/09
Sergeant S.M.	Moorhouse, #15269	9

4. REPORTING PROCEDURES:

Random CHP 407E's and Proposition 65 letters were pulled from files and found to contain all required elements. All other reporting procedures appear to comply with policy.

5. EMERGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES:

All emergency incident responses follow Departmental protocol and policy.

Commander's Response:		ur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)
-----------------------	--	--

The following comments have been added to Section 5 of the Emergency Incident Management Planning form (CHP 453R): The radio dead spots issue is not exclusive to the Lake County Area. In fact, most CHP offices located in rural areas with rough terrain experience the same issues. Area will continue to work with DGS and IMD, in an effort to ensure everything that can be done is being done to improve the radio communications.

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Reviewer discussed this report with

Do not concur

employee Concur

Page 3 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Clear Lake	Northern	16
Inspected by:		Date: 09/28/09
Sergeant S.M. I	Moorhouse, #15269	

Required Action		
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		11 0 / 13 ESWELLEN) X 8 60 / 15 (15 (15 (15 (15 (15 (15 (15 (15 (15
5. EMERGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES		
a.(1)(b)(c) The only critical on-going problem is the outlying areas of the county. Radio technicians are phasing in new radios and repeaters statewide.		
6		
Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	15. m. ly. 1 Lus	9-29-09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Clear Lake	Northern	151
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Sergeant S.M. Moorhouse, #15269		09/28/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Therefore Type of Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE 09/30/2009		
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report Yes No BY	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	DATE	9-09
1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNIN	G Ves No	CORRECTED	
a. Are Area employees familiar with various departmental p	publications which provide for EIM planning?	✓ Yes	□No
 Does the Area commander have a clear understanding as outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Managem Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? 	of the Department's philosophy and policy for EIM ent Planning Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5,	 ✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this philosophy conveyed to:			
(a) Subordinates.		✓ Yes	□No
(b) Public safety agencies.		✓ Yes	□No
(c) Emergency service providers.		✓ Yes	□No
c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely updat	e EIM plans?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is the employee familiar with local resources and co	nditions?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniformed	personnel?	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Is there adequate liaison with emergency response	and support agencies?	✓ Yes	□No
d. Have emergency incident plans been evaluated?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do plans include command-specific information?			□No
(2) Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpose	and objectives?	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility comme	nsurate with appropriate authority?	✓ Yes	□No
(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing t	ne plans?	✓ Yes	□No
(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personn	el?	✓ Yes	☐ No
(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessar	y supplies and equipment? Through Department	al channels and lo	ocal business
merchants.			
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or comman	d_specific forms?	☑ Yes	□No
		Ē 169	
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communic agencies, Division and headquarters?	alion and coordination with other Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?	-	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(a)	Are there employee and property protection references	in the command's EAP?		✓ Yes	□No
(b)	Bomb incident procedures.			✓ Yes	□No
(c)	Fires.	✓ Yes	□No		
(d)	Flood/dam failures.			✓ Yes	□No
(e)	Radiation incidents.			√ Yes	□No
(f)	Earthquakes.			✓ Yes	□No
(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.			Yes	☑ No
(h)	Civil unrest.			✓ Yes	□No
(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			✓ Yes	□No
(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.			✓ Yes	□No
(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, a	and other emergency pro	cedures is required.	✓ Yes	□No
(6) Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following inform	ation:			
(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.			✓ Yes	□No
(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area	and other emergency ser	vice providers.	✓ Yes	□No
(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, pers	onnel and material resou	ırces.	✓ Yes	□No
(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.			✓ Yes	□No
(7) Do	pes the need for each plan still exists?			✓ Yes	□No
(a	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturban			✓ Yes	□No
(b)	Can plans be tested?			✓ Yes	□No
(c)	Are they current?			✓ Yes	□No
(d	Do they work?		8	Yes	□No
(8) Do	pes the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			✓ Yes	□No
(a	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 5 Operations Manual, or local plans?			✓ Yes	□No
. TRAINING		evaluated Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
a. Is ther	e an awareness of local training requirements?	1.43	1000	✓ Yes	☐ No
b. Have i	required employees been trained to initiate, maintain and	use ICS in Area emerge	ncies?	√ Yes	□No
(1) H	ave other Area employees received familiarization training	g in ICS?		✓ Yes	□No
	o Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incideceptance?	dent commanders and th	eir role in mission	✓ Yes	□No
(3) H	ave managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the	use of HPG 50.3, Emerg	gency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
(4) ls		✓ Yes	□No		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS forms and their use?						□No	
	(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who emergency-related skills?	have receive	d specialized trai	ining o	r possess special	✓ Yes	□No	
	(7)	Have employees been provided with annual tra Departmental Training Manual?	ining in hazar	rdous materials re	equirec	d by HPM 70.13,	✓ Yes	□No	
		(a) Are the records of required training current	.?				✓ Yes	□No	
c.	Has	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been cor	iducted?				✓ Yes	□ No	
	(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in me providers to explain the Department's role in El		lic safety agencie	s and	emergency service	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency serole?	rvice provider	rs attended Area	trainin	g to discuss their	✓ Yes	□No	
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with	these agenci	ies and EMS prov	viders?	?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback	given to all pa	articipants?			✓ Yes	□No	
RE	LAT	TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES		Yes		ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	8	
a.		es the commander maintain a working relationsh partments, state and county traffic engineers and				offices, police	✓ Yes	□No	
(1) Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel?						✓ Yes	□No		
(2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?						✓ Yes	□ No		
b. Does the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships with their counterparts in allied agencies?						✓ Yes	□No		
(1) Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils?					√ Yes	☐ No			
(2) Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning?						✓ Yes	□No		
c. Has the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents?						√ Yes	□No		
	(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Distur					√ Yes	□No	
	(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with	allied agencie	es who have EIM	respo	nsibility?	✓ Yes	☐ No	
	(3)	Are existing plans current?	1101				✓ Yes	□No	
	(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?					✓ Yes	□No	
	(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?					✓ Yes	□No	
(6) Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual?					✓ Yes	□No			
	4. REPORTING PROCEDURES Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO						CORRECTED	,	
RE	:PO			a. Are reporting and documentation requirements for emergency incidents understood by the Area commander, managers, and supervisors?					
inv	Are		emergency in	cidents understo	od by t	the Area commander,	√ Yes	□No	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

(2)	Are	e major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Re	porting of Highwa	y Conditions?	✓ Yes	□No
(3)		zardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 8 ident Management Manual?	34.2, Hazardous N	Materials Transportation and	✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) p	repared?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releas the County Board of Supervisors and the County Healt		of hazardous material to	✓ Yes	□No
5. EMER	RGEN	ICY INCIDENT RESPONSES	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. Lis	st pro	blems Area experienced in exercising EIM. There are so	everal radio dead	spots within the county. This	has repeated	lly been
ad	ldress	sed with Department radio technicians.				
(1)	На	s follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent rect	urrences of proble	ems?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-cor	nmand?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Are problems corrected and appropriate changes mad	e to Area plans?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(c)	Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forward	ed through the ch	nain-of-command?	☐Yes	✓ No
(2)) Ha	ve there been repeated problems with specific individua	ls or agencies?		Yes	☑ No
	(a)	Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to	resolve the issues	s?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as	required?		✓ Yes	□No
The radio	dea	d spots issue is not exclusive to the Clear Lake Area. In	fact, most CHP	offices located in rural areas v	vith rough te	rrain
experienc	ce the	e same issues. Area will continue to work with DGS an	d IMD, in an effo	ort to ensure everything that ca	n be done is	being done to
improve :	radio	communications.				

Destroy Previous Editions

Ko

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL
AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION
EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
ALTURAS (170)	NORTHERN	170-03-09
EVALUATED BY	(1-11	DATE
T. DUNN	09/29/2009	

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

				•	•					44		
TYPE OF E			on aluation	☑ Inf	ormal Evaluation		SUSPENSE DAT	Ε ,				
FOLLOW-	UP R	EQUI	RED		☐ Correction	n Report	COMMANDER'S	REVIEW			DATE	
□Ye	25	7	No			n nopon						9
					BY		LT. M. P. N	ORIARIT	Υ		10/08/200	9
1 FMF	=R'(ŧΕΝ	CYINCI	DENT MA	NAGEMENT (EIN	M) PLANNING	EVALUATED		ACTION REQUIRED		CORRECTED	
	1-14	語語	and Allega	是計劃的發展	SECTION OF STREET		YES		NO .		N/A	
a. <i>A</i>	Are	Аге	a employ	ees famili	ar with various de	epartmental publication	ons which pro	vide for EIM	1 planning? —————		✓ Yes	□ No
b. I	Doe	s th	e Area c	ommande	r have a clear und	derstanding of the De	epartment's p	hilosophy ar	nd policy for EIN	ï		
					mergency incidel: and Operations I	nt Management Plan Manual?	ning Operation	ons Manual,	and HPIVI 50.5,		✓ Yes	□No
		==		ophy con								
		_	Subordi								✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Public s	afety age	ncies,				1.000		✓ Yes	□No
	-	(c)	Emerge	ncy service	e providers.						✓ Yes	□No
c. I	s aı	ı en	nployee a	assigned t	o develop and rou	utinely update EIM pl	ans?	*		17	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	ls th	ne emplo	yee famili	ar with local resou	urces and conditions	?				√ Yes	□No
()	2)	ls ir	put obta	ined from	uniformed and no	onuniformed personn	iel?	77-44	1479. 11		☑ Yes	□No
(3)	Is th	nere ade	quate liais	on with emergen	cy response and sup	port agencies	3?		×	✓ Yes	□No
d. H	Hav	e er	nergency	/ incident	plans been evalua	ated?					✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Do	plans inc	lude comi	mand-specific info	ormation?			4		✓ Yes	□No
(:	2)	Do	plans co	ntain a cle	ar statement of th	neir purpose and obje	ectives?		240		✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is there	an assign	ment of responsi	bility commensurate	with appropri	ate authority	/?	18	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Are the	re checklis	sts to assist in imp	plementing the plans	?				✓ Yes	□No
100		(c)	Is there	a method	for notifying off-d	luty personnel?			.82		✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	What m	ethods ar	e used for acquiri	ng necessary supplie	es and equipr	ment? ALT	URAS AREA U	JSES TH	IE APPRO	VED
			PURC	HASE AN	ID REQUISITIO	N PROCESSES INC	CLUDING "X	K" NUMBE	RS, CAL-CARI	O, AND	PETTY C	ASH.
			101			19.4		Ħ				-03:
(;	3)	Do ·	the plans	refer to I	CS and CHP and	or command-specific	c forms?				☑ Yes	□ No
(4	4)	Do age	the plans ncles, Di	s have info vision and	ormation regarding I headquarters?	g communication and	d coordination	n with other	Areas, allied		✓ Yes	□No
(!	5)	Are	there pla	ans for ha	zard-specific incid	lents?					☑ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

		(1.10.				
		(a)	Are there employee and property protection references in the command's EAP?		✓ Yes	□No
	✓ Yes	□No				
(c) Fires.				✓ Yes	□No	
		(d)	Flood/dam failures.		✓ Yes	□No
		(e)	Radiation incidents.		✓ Yes	□No
		(f)	Earthquakes.		✓ Yes	□No
		(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.		Yes	☑ No
		(h)	Civil unrest.	[18:0]	✓ Yes	□No
		(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.		✓ Yes	□No
		(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.		✓ Yes	□No
-		(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, and other emergency proceeds	edures is required.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(6)	Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following information:			
		(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area and other emergency serv	ice providers.	✓ Yes	□ No
-		(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resource	ces.	✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.		✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Doe	es the need for each plan still exists?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Mana and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operation		✓ Yes	□No
-		(b)	Can plans be tested?		√ Yes	□No
		(c)	Are they current?		✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	Do they work?		✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Doe	s the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?		✓ Yes	□No
-500-500		(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergence Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Plan Operations Manual, or local plans?	cy Incident ning and	☑ Yes	□No
2 т	RAIN	INC	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	K
经进程	和中国		100/2014/00/200/2014/00/2014/00/2014/00/2014/00/2014/00/2014/00/2014/00/2014/00	NO	[] Voo	□No
		_	an awareness of local training requirements?	lan0	✓ Yes	□ No
D.		_	quired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and use ICS in Area emergence	iles /	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	_	e other Area employees received familiarization training in ICS?	a valo in valo-1	✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)		Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incident commanders and their eptance?	role in mission	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)	Hav	e managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the use of HPG 50.3, Emerge	ncy Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(4)	Is H	PG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?		✓ Yes	□ No
			*			

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(5) Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS forms and their use?	✓ Yes	□ No
(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills?	☑ Yes	□No
(7) Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70.13, Departmental Training Manual?	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Are the records of required training current?	✓ Yes	□No
c. Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?	√ Yes	□No
(1) Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency service providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their role?	☑ Yes	□No
(3) Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers?	✓ Yes	□No
(4) Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants?	✓ Yes	□No
3. RELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES YES ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED)
Does the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local sheriffs offices, police departments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel?	✓ Yes	□ No
(1) Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?	✓ Yes	□No
 Does the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships with their counterparts in allied agencies? 	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning?	✓ Yes	□No
c. Has the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responsibility?	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Are existing plans current?	✓ Yes	□No
(4) Do plans provide for adequate supervision?	✓ Yes	□No
(5) Do plans conform to CHP policy?	✓ Yes	□ No
(6) Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual?	✓ Yes	□ No
4. REPORTING PROCEDURES YES ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED)
 Are reporting and documentation requirements for emergency incidents understood by the Area commander, managers, and supervisors? 	☑ Yes	□No
(1) Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report of Unusual Occurrence?	☑ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

	(2)	Are	major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Condit	ions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)		zardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials dent Management Manual?	Transportation and	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared?	1371	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazard the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?	dous material to	☑ Yes	□No
5. EN	ΛER	GEN	CVINCIDENT DECDONICES	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
a.	List	t pro	olems Area experienced in exercising EIM. There have very been minor problems	s with radio communica	ation in the	past. Each
	Alt	uras	Area uniformed employee was recently assigned a hand held radio to facilitate d	irect allied agency com:	munication	la .
8	(1)	Ha	s follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recurrences of problems?		✓ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-command?		✓ Yes	□No
•••	- 10-5	(b)	Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made to Area plans?		✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarded through the chain-of-co	ommand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Ha	ve there been repeated problems with specific Individuals or agencies?		Yes	☑ No
		(a)	Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to resolve the issues?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as required?	38 SE	✓ Yes	□No
The A	Itur	as A	rea has an outstanding working relationship with allied agencies. Area personnel	actively participate in	several mo	ck
					1 1	4 - 3

The Alturas Area has an outstanding working relationship with allied agencies. Area personnel actively participate in several mock emergency incidents each year involving various health, fire, and law enforcement agencies. Training is conducted and documented as required. Required reports are also completed and submitted in accordance with established policy and procedures.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

VI	Alturas (170)
Al	Inspected by:
	T. Dunn #10172

Division: Northern Chapter: . 16 Date:

9/29/2009

Page 1 of 3

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required.

Command:

improvement, identified deliciencies, cor	rective a	ction plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be	sused if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Division Level ☐ Command L	.evel	Total hours expended on the inspection:	Corrective Action Plan Included
☐ Executive Office Level		6 hours	Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to: Northern	
☐ Yes ☑ No	Due D	ate: 10/10/2009	
Chapter Inspection.	100000		
Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	nnovative Practices:	
None			
Command Suggestions for St	atewic	le Improvement:	
® ₩	*	as of as	-
Inspector's Findings:			pe er
None	<u> 17</u>		N C
Commander's Response:	Concl	ir or Do Not Concur (Do Not Conc	cur shall document basis for response)

Section 6(a)- CHP Communications has done extensive radio testing in the Alturas Area to identify and correct radio reception problems. Upgrading mountain top repeaters and replacing existing CHP radio equipment is scheduled to be implemented in the near future. Alturas Area requested and obtained high band handheld radios for each uniformed employee on 9/18/2009. These radios enable officers to communicate with county law enforcement, fire, OES and EMS staff at emergency incidents.

Alturas Area participates in all county and regional disaster drills and tactical training.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3.

Command: Alturas (170)	Division: Northern	Chapter:
Inspected by:	Northern	Date:
T. Dunn #1017	2	9/29/2009

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3.

Command: Alturas (170)	Division: Northern	Chapter:
Inspected by: T. Dunn #1017	2	Date: 9/29/2009

		* .	
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
Required Action			
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	2/		
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline			2000010001

	1	
Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE 9/29/2009
*	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE 9/29/2009
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE

7074656427

Department of California Highway Patrol AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16 EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING	Area Crescent City Area	Division Northern	Number
	Evaluated By I	D.A. Gray,	Date 7/15/09
INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviews II			

ONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary Statement. The Summary Statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Summary can be handwritten if

Type of Evaluation					
Formal Sinformal		Suspense D	ate		
Follow-up Required ☐Yes ☑No	Correction Report by	Commander	s Review	7-	20.0
1. EMERGENCY INCIDEN (EIM) PLANNING	IT MANAGEMENT	Evaluated	Action Required 🛛	Correc	cted
			tions which	⊠Yes	
- Princeophy and policy ic	ander have a clear underst or EIM as outlined in HPM	anding of the I 50.1 and HPM	Department's 50.5?	⊠Yes	□No
(1) Is this philosophy c	onveyed to:				
(a) Subordinates?				—————————————————————————————————————	□No
(b) Public safety ago	encies?			⊠Yes	□No
(c) Emergency serv	ice providers?			⊠Yes	
c. Is an employee assigne	ed to develop and routinely	update FIM p	lans?	Yes	
	niliar with local resources a				□No
	m uniformed and nonunifo			⊠Yes	□No
	ison with emergency resp			⊠Yes —	□No
d. Have emergency incide	of plans book sustantial	onse and supp	ort agencies?	⊠Yes	□No
All and the second seco				⊠Yes	□No
	nmand-specific information			⊠Yes	□No
	ear statement of their purp			⊠Yes	□No
(a) Is there an assign appropriate authority?	ment of responsibility com	mensurate wit	h	⊠Yes	□No
(b) Are there checklis	ts to assist in implementin	g the plans?		⊠Yes	
	for notifying off-duty perso				□No □No
			35334		

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16 EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

2 TDANIMA				
2. TRAINING	Evaluated ⊠	Action Required	Correct	ed
a. Is there an awareness of local training	g requirements?		⊠Yes	□No
b. Have required employees been traine	d to initiate and use I	CS in emergencies		□No
(1) Have other Area employees receiv	ed familiarization tra	ining in ICS?	⊠Yes	□No
(2) Do Area personnel understand the and their role in mission acceptance?				□No
(3) Have managers, supervisors and (DICs been trained in	the use of HPG 50.	3?⊠Yes	□ No
(4) Is 50.3 readily available?			 ⊠ Yes [No
(5) Are managers and supervisors fam	iliar with various ICS			□No
(6) Does the Area have a roster of emptraining or possess special emergency-	oloyees who have rec related skills?	ceived specialized	⊠ Yes	
(7) Have employees been provided with required by HPM 70.13?		azardous materials	⊠ Yes	□ No
(a) Are the records of required traini			⊠ Yes	□No
c. Are Area personnel trained to drive and			☐ Yes	⊠ No
(1) Is there a list of trained drivers/opera	ators in the emergend	y plan or SOP?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
d. Has interagency training pertaining to E	IM been conducted?		⊠ Yes	□ No
(1) Do Area personnel attend and partic and emergency service providers to exp	ipate in meetings of plain the Department's	oublic safety agenci role in EIM?	es Yes	□ No
(2) Have public safety agencies and em Area training to discuss their role?	ergency service prov	iders attended	⊠ Yes	□ No
(3) Do personnel participate in exercises	with these agencies	/EMS providers?	⊠ Yes	□No
(4) Are exercise critiques conducted and			⊠ Yes	□ No
3. RELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED	valuated Ad		Corrected	
a. Does commander regularly confer with ju	idges, prosecutors, p	ublic defenders?		□No
 (1) Does he/she maintain a working relat departments, state/county traffic enginee 	ionship with local she rs and highway depa	riff's offices, police		□ No
(2) Does he/she maintain a working relating Office of Emergency Services personne	onship with County a 1?	nd Regional State	⊠ Yes	
(3) is the commander a member of emer-	gency organizations?	,	 ⊠ Yes	□ No
 b. Does the commander encourage Area lie good working relationships with their counter 	utenants and supervi parts in allied agenci	sors to establish	⊠ Yes	□No
(1) Are lieutenants and sergeants membe organizations or councils?			⊠ Yes	□ No
HP 453R (Rev 1-96)	- н			

AREA MANAGEMENT Chapter 16	EVALUATION .	
	MANAGEMENT PLANNING	;

(b) If not resolved, has the Division Chief been notified as re-	Adultod2 57 V	
COMMENTS	equired?	□ No
CHP 453R (Rev 1-96)		Page 5

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16		
EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING		
(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary supplies and equipment?	eard or "X"	
Supplies/Equipment are obtained through the requisition process or via credit of		
number.	(4===	
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-specific forms?	⊠Yes	□No
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communication and coordination with other Areas, allied agencies, Division and headquarters?	⊠Yes	□No
(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?	⊠Yes	□No
(a) Are there employee and property protection references in the command's EAP?	⊠Yes	□No
	⊠Yes	□No
(b) Bomb incident procédures?	⊠Yes	□No
(c) Fires?	⊠Yes	□No
(d) Flood/dam failures?	□Yes	⊠No
(e) Radiation incidents?	⊠Yes	□No
(f) Earthquakes?	 ⊠Yes	□No
(g) Tsunamis/coastal storms?	⊠Yes	□No
(h) Civil unrest?	⊠Yes	□No
(i) Other Area-specific emergencies?	<u> </u>	
(6) Do plans have supporting annexes with the following information:		
(a) Emergency Response Center Operations?	⊠Yes	□No
(b) Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area and other emergency service providers?	⊠Yes	□No
(c) Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resources?	⊠Yes	□No
(d) 72-hour self-sufficient operation?	∐Yes	⊠No
(7) Does the need for each plan still exist?	⊠Yes	No
(a) Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1 and HPM 50.5?	⊠Yes	□No
(b) Can plans be tested?	⊠Yes	□No
	⊠Yes	□No
(c) Are they current?	⊠Yes	□No
(d) Do they work?	Myss	

□No

⊠No

⊠Yes

Yes

(8) Does the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?

HPM 50.1, HPM 50.5 or local plans?

(a) Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict with

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

CHP 453R (Rev 1-96)

Chapter 16 EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLA	NNII	NG	conduct mutual		
(2) Have Area personnel met with all	ied a	agency personner to	CONQUEL MALGO.	⊠ Yes	No
c. Has the command developed written effective use of departmental personnel				⊠ Yes	□ No
	ith H	IPM 50.1 and HPM	50.5?	Д.:-	
(2) Were the plans developed in cochave EIM responsibility?	ordin	ation with allied age	encies who	Yes Yes Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No
(3) Are existing plans current?				⊠ Yes	□ No
(4) Do plans provide for adequate s	upe	rvision?			□No
OUD policy	17			⊠ Yes	□No
(5) Do plans conform to CHP policy (6) Has the command developed a	writ	ten EAP in accorda	nce with HPM 50.1?	⊠ Yes	
4. REPORTING PROCEDURES		Evaluated		Corrected	
Are reporting and documentation reby the Area commander, managers as			ency incidents unders	itood Yes	
(4) Are upusual occurrences repor	ted	per GO 100.80 !		⊠ Yes	
(a) Are major state route closures	repo	orted per GO 100.4	67	⊠ Yes	
material spills and I	relea	ases reported per i	II IVI C		
(a) Are Hazardous material inc	iden	it reports (CHP 40)	L) picp=	⊠ Yes	,
(b) Are there written procedure	s fo			⊠ Ye	
5. EMERGENCY INCIDENT		Evaluated	Action Required	Correct	ed
RESPONSES	- AV				
a. List problems Area experienced i	III CX	(o) cloing			
					es □N
(1) Has follow-up investigation b	een	conducted to preve	nt recurrences?	⊠ Y	
() to the investigation forwar	ded	through the chain-	OI-COITHTIANG:	⊠ Y	
(b) Are problems corrected a	nd a	appropriate change:	made to Area plans	7 ⊠Y	es 🔲 N
(c) Are corrected actions take chain-of-command?	en, o	documented and fo	warded through the	⊠ Y	es 🗆 N
(2) Have there been repeated p	roble	ems with specific in	dividuals or agencies	? ⊠Y	es 🗆 N
(a) Has the Area commande	r ma	ade reasonable effo	rts to resolve the issu	ues? ⊠Y	es 🗆 N
(a) has the Area commands					Page

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM **EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT**

Page 1 of 3

Crescent City	Division: Northern	Chapter:
Inspected by: Sgt. D. Gray		Date: 7/15/2009

CHPCRESCENT

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the Inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required. TYPE OF INSPECTION Total hours expended on the ☐ Corrective Action Plan Included Division Level x Command Level inspection; 8 ☐ Executive Office Level Attachments included Forward to: Follow-up Required: Due Date: 9/1/2009 ☐ Yes XNo Cherate insperators Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices: None Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement: vone Inspector's Findings: The Area's Emergency Incident Management Planning is current and updated on an as needed Commander's Response: xConcur or Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response) The Area is actively involved with Del Norte County EOC and participates in local exercises.

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged,

10/09/2009 15:12 7074656427

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM XCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Crescent City	Division: Northern	Chapter:
Inspected by: Sgt. D. Gray		Date: 7/15/2009

7074656427

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

**Page 3 of 3

Command: Crescent City	Northern	Chapter:
Inspected by: Sgt. D. Gray		Date: 7/15/2009

Recollication and the second s	77900 2 upp began and 19700 2 upp began and
on our and the first of the fir	
Corrective Action Di	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

CHPCRESCENT

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer.		DATE -
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedure	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	9-3-09 DATE
Reviewer discussed this report with employee	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	9-3-09 DATE
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur		Δ.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER	1
Cottonwood IF	Northern	131	
EVALUATED BY		DATE	
Zambrana/Oster		10/01/2009	

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

	valuation nal Evaluation ☐ Infor	mal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE 10/01/2009			
FOLLOW-U	P REQUIRED	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW V. Zambrana, A/C #3	12435	10/05/2	009
1. EME	RGENCY INCIDENT MAN	AGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	evaluated Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE N/A	ED
a. A	re Area employees familiar	with various departmental publicatio	ns which provide for E	IM planning?	✓ Yes	□ No
as		ave a clear understanding of the De ergency Incident Management Planr nd Operations Manual?			✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this philosophy convey	ved to:				
	(a) Subordinates.				✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Public safety agenci	es.			✓ Yes	□ No
	(c) Emergency service p	providers.			✓ Yes	□No
c. Is	an employee assigned to d	evelop and routinely update EIM pla	ns?		✓ Yes	☐ No
(1)	Is the employee familiar v	with local resources and conditions?			✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Is input obtained from uni	formed and nonuniformed personne	1?		✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Is there adequate liaison	with emergency response and suppo	ort agencies?		✓ Yes	□No
d. Ha	ve emergency incident plar	ns been evaluated?			✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Do plans include commar	nd-specific information?			✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Do plans contain a clear s	statement of their purpose and objec	tives?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Is there an assignme	nt of responsibility commensurate wi	th appropriate authorit	y?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Are there checklists to	assist in implementing the plans?		······································	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there a method for	notifying off-duty personnel?				□No
	(d) What methods are us	ed for acquiring necessary supplies	and equipment? Inve	ntory is evaluated on a	quarterly ba	asis. Any
	necessary supplies a	nd/or equipment is acquired through	our quarterly requisit	ion order.		
(3)	Do the plans refer to ICS a	and CHP and/or command-specific fo	orms?		✓ Yes	□ No
(4)	Do the plans have informa agencies, Division and hea	tion regarding communication and conductors?	oordination with other	Areas, allied	☑ Yes	□No
(5)	Are there plans for hazard-	specific incidents?		#.	✓ Yes	□ No

c453r606.pdf

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

_							
Ī		(a)	Are there employee and property protection reference	s in the command's EAF	??	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Bomb incident procedures.			✓ Yes	□No
-		(c)	Fires.	1,1-1		✓ Yes	□ No
-		(d)	Flood/dam failures.			✓ Yes	□No
-		(e)	Radiation incidents.			✓ Yes	□No
_		(f)	Earthquakes.			✓ Yes	□No
-		(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.			∐Yes	☑ No
		(h)	Civil unrest.			✓ Yes	□No
		(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			✓ Yes	□No
		(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.			Yes	□No
		(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, a	and other emergency pro	ocedures is required.		□No
	(6)	Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following inform	nation:		14	
		(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.			✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area	and other emergency se	rvice providers.	✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, pers	onnel and material reso	urces.	✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.			✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Doe	es the need for each plan still exists?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance			✓ Yes	□No
Cart		(b)	Can plans be tested?			√ Yes	□No
		(c)	Are they current?			✓ Yes	□ No
		(d)	Do they work?			✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Doe	s the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			✓ Yes	□No
			Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict \Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50 Operations Manual, or local plans?	with HPM 50.1, Emerger).5, Civil Disturbance Pla	ncy Incident anning and	✓ Yes	□No
2.	TRAIN	NG		EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED Yes	CORRECTED N/A	
50	a. Is th	ere a	an awareness of local training requirements?			✓ Yes	□No
-	b. Hav	e req	uired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and u	se ICS in Area emergen	cies?	✓ Yes	☐ No
: 15	(1)	Have	other Area employees received familiarization training i	in ICS?		✓ Yes	□ No
			rea personnel understand their responsibilities as incide otance?	nt commanders and the	ir role in mission	✓ Yes	□No
=			managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the u	se of HPG 50.3, Emerge	ency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	☐ No
_			PG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?			✓ Yes	□No
-	. /	34					

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

0111 700	T(Non-Cosy of Food		
(5	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS forms and their use?	✓ Yes	□No
(6	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills?	✓ Yes	□No
(7	Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70.13, Departmental Training Manual?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are the records of required training current?	✓ Yes	□No
c. H	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?	☐ Yes	☑ No
(1	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency service providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	□Yes	☑ No
(2	Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their role?	☐ Yes	☑ No
(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers?	☐ Yes	☑ No
(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants?	□ Yes	✓ No
3. RELA	TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES EVALUATED YES NO	N/A	
	es the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local sheriff's offices, police partments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel?	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel?	Yes	□No
(2)	Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships in their counterparts in allied agencies?	☑ Yes	□No
(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils?	☐ Yes	☑ No
(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning?	✓ Yes	□No
	the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental sonnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents?	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responsibility?	✓ Yes	□ No
(3)	Are existing plans current?	✓ Yes	□No
(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?	√ Yes	□No
(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?	✓ Yes	□No
(6)	Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual?	✓ Yes	□No
. REPOR	TING PROCEDURES EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED N/A	
	reporting and documentation requirements for emergency incidents understood by the Area commander, agers, and supervisors?		□No
(1)	Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report of Unusual Occurrence?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

(2)	Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46,	y Conditions?	✓ Yes	□No	
(3)	Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPN Incident Management Manual?	И 84.2, Hazardous N	laterials Transportation and	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared?			✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous material to the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?			✓ Yes	□No
5. EMER	GENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED N/A	D.
a. List	t problems Area experienced in exercising EIM. No proble	ems have been identi	fied.		
(1)	Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent re	currences of problen	ns?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-co	ommand?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes ma	de to Area plans?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forward	ded through the cha	in-of-command?	☐ Yes	☑ No
(2)	Have there been repeated problems with specific individu	als or agencies?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(a) Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to	resolve the issues?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(b) If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as	required?		☐ Yes	☑ No

Exceptions:

Section 1 - Emergency Incident Management - ACTION NOT REQUIRED - Cottonwood is not subjected to tsunamis/coastal storms. Response guidelines are not included in our emergency incident plans.

Section 2 - Training - ACTION REQUIRED - The commander will coordinate a meeting with local public safety agencies and emergency service providers to discuss the Department's role in EIM. Additionally, a mock EIM exercise will be scheduled prior to the end of the year to ensure all personnel are adequately trained and familiar with their roles in EIM.

Section 3 - Relationships with Allied Agencies - ACTION NOT REQUIRED - The commander is not a member of any emergency organizations; nor are the sergeants.

Section 5 - Emergency Incident Responses - ACTION NOT REQUIRED - No problems have been experienced in exercising EIM. The responses to questions (1) and (2) are "no" for that reason.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL
AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION
EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Yreka	Northern	145-003-09
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Sergeant Shell	ey Barlow	10/13/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

PE OF EVALUA		nal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
DLLOW-UP REQU	UIRED NO	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REALEW	Daws ALC	DATE LO / (3/09
. EMERGE	NCY INCIDENT MANA	GEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	,
a. Are A	ea employees familiar v	with various departmental publica	tions which provide for	or EIM planning?	√ Yes	□No
as out	the Area commander ha lined in HPM 50.1, Eme bisturbance Planning an	ave a clear understanding of the l ergency Incident Management Pla d Operations Manual?	Department's philosop anning Operations Ma	ohy and policy for EIM anual, and HPM 50.5,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) ls	this philosophy convey	red to:				
(2) Subordinates.				✓ Yes	□No
(b) Public safety agenci	es.			✓ Yes	☐ No
(c	:) Emergency service p	providers.			✓ Yes	□No
c. Is an	employee assigned to d	evelop and routinely update EIM	plans?		✓ Yes	□ No
(1) Is	the employee familiar	with local resources and condition	ns?		✓ Yes	□No
(2) Is	input obtained from un	iformed and nonuniformed perso	nnel?		√ Yes	☐ No
(3) 15	there adequate liaison	with emergency response and s	upport agencies?			□No
d. Have	emergency incident pla	ns been evaluated?			✓ Yes	□No
(1) D	o plans include comma	ind-specific information?			✓ Yes	☐ No
(2) 🗅	o plans contain a clear	statement of their purpose and o	bjectives?		✓ Yes	□No
(;	a) Is there an assignment	ent of responsibility commensura	te with appropriate au	uthority?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Are there checklists	to assist in implementing the pla	ns?		✓ Yes	□No
(1	c) Is there a method fo	r notifying off-duty personnel?			✓ Yes	□No
((d) What methods are u	used for acquiring necessary sup	olies and equipment?	Budget and Requisition P	rocess	
					=	
	19					
(3)	o the plans refer to ICS	S and CHP and/or command-spe	cific forms?		✓ Yes	□No
	o the plans have inform gencies, Division and h	nation regarding communication leadquarters?	and coordination with	other Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
(5) A	are there plans for haza	rd-specific incidents?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

1 70017 (1	100	. 0-00) OI 1 000				
	(a)	Are there employee and property protection references in	the command's EAP?		Yes	□No
	(b)	Bomb incident procedures,			✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Fires.			✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	Flood/dam failures.			✓ Yes	□No
	(e)	Radiation incidents.			✓ Yes	☐ No
	(f)	Earthquakes.			✓ Yes	□No
	(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.			✓ Yes	□No
	(h)	Civil unrest.			√ Yes	□No
	(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			✓ Yes	□No
	(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.		20	✓ Yes	□No
	(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, ar	nd other emergency proc	edures is required.	✓ Yes	□No
(6)	Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following informa	tion:			
	(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.			✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area a	nd other emergency serv	rice providers.	✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, person	nnel and material resour	ces.	✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.			✓ Yes	□No
(7)	Do	es the need for each plan still exists?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, E and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance	Emergency Incident Man e Planning and Operatio	agement Planning ns Manual?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Can plans be tested?			✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Are they current?			✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	Do they work?			✓ Yes	□No
(8)	Do	es the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50 Operations Manual, or local plans?	with HPM 50.1, Emergen 0.5, Civil Disturbance Pla	cy Incident nning and	✓ Yes	□No
TRAINI	NG	TER ST	S. Barlow	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
a. Is th	nere	an awareness of local training requirements?			✓ Yes	□ No
b. Hav	е ге	equired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and u	se ICS in Area emergen	cies?	✓ Yes	□ No
(1)	На	ve other Area employees received familiarization training	in ICS?		✓ Yes	□No
(2)		Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incide ceptance?	ent commanders and the	ir role in mission	✓ Yes	□ No
(3)	На	ve managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the	use of HPG 50.3, Emerg	ency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
(4)	ls i	HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?			✓ Yes	☐ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS for	re managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS forms and their use?					
	(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have receivemergency-related skills?	ved specialized trainin	g or p	oossess special	✓ Yes	□No	
-	(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in haz Departmental Training Manual?	ardous materials requ	ired b	by HPM 70.13,	Yes	□No	
		(a) Are the records of required training current?				✓ Yes	□No	
C	. Has	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?				√ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of purproviders to explain the Department's role in EIM?	ublic safety agencies a	ind er	nergency service	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service provide role?	ders attended Area tra	ining	to discuss their	✓ Yes	□No	
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these ager	ncies and EMS provide	ers?		✓ Yes	□No	
	(4) Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants?				✓ Yes	□No		
3. F	RELAT	TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	S. Barlow	ľ	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED		
а	ı. Do der	es the commander maintain a working relationship with pers partments, state and county traffic engineers and highway d	sonnel from local sheri epartment personnel?	ff's of	fices, police	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1) Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel?				✓ Yes	□No		
	(2)	2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?				✓ Yes	□No	
t		es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervi h their counterparts in allied agencies?	sors to establish good	work	ing relationships	√ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-rel	ated committees, orga	nizati	ions, or councils?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to	conduct mutual aid co	ntinge	ency planning?	✓ Yes	□No	
	Ha per	s the command developed written emergency incident plans rsonnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to	s to provide for effective emergency incidents	/e use s?	e of departmental	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Plan	y Incident Managemer nning and Operations I	nt Plai Manu	nning and al?	√ Yes	□No	
	(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agen	cies who have EIM re	spons	sibility?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(3)	Are existing plans current?			(4)	✓ Yes	□No	
	(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?				√ Yes	□No	
	(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?				Yes	□No	
	(6)	Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance Management Planning and Operations Manual?	e with HPM 50.1, Eme	ergeno	cy Incident	✓ Yes	□No	
4.	REPO	RTING PROCEDURES	EVALUATED S. Barlow		ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D	
		e reporting and documentation requirements for emergency anagers, and supervisors?		by th	e Area commander,	√ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Repor	t of Unusual Occurren	ce?		✓ Yes	□No	

STAȚE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

(2	2) Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?	✓ Yes	□No
(3	3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transp Incident Management Manual?	ortation and	□No
	(a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous m the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?	aterial to ☑ Yes	□No
5. EME	ERGENCY INCIDENT: RESPONSES EVALUATED ACTION I	REQUIRED CORRECTE	כ
a. L	List problems Area experienced in exercising EIM.		
(1	Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recurrences of problems?		□No
	(a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-command?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made to Area plans?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarded through the chain-of-comman	d? ✓ Yes	☐ No
(2	(2) Have there been repeated problems with specific individuals or agencies?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(a) Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to resolve the issues?	☐Yes	□ No
	(b) If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as required?	☐ Yes	□No
-			

Comments

All emergency action plans are updated regularly and are accessible to employees.

Annual meetings are held with allied agencies to prepare for winter storm events. These meetings have resulted in very successful operations between local jurisdictions and Oregon agencies.

The proactive Yreka management has reduced the number and frequency of large scale events, ie. chain inspections, radio broadcasts. Caltrans sign boards.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA

AREA

DIVISION

NUMBER

Susanville/140

Northern/101

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER	/
Susanville/140	Northern/101		J
EVALUATED BY		DATE	
Sgt. L. Olveda		10/04/2009	

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

	and promotive and approximation	an be namawitten in ac.	on cu.		
TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation	Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED	☐ Correction Report	COT 10, 200°	7	DATE	
☐ Yes ☑ No	BY	DESC.	A/C	10/0	6/09
1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT	MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. Are Area employees fa	miliar with various departmental publica		M planning?	✓ Yes	□ No
as outlined in HPM 50.1	nder have a clear understanding of the l 1, Emergency Incident Management Pla ing and Operations Manual?	Department's philosophy a anning Operations Manual	and policy for EIM l, and HPM 50.5,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this philosophy o	conveyed to:				
(a) Subordinates.				✓ Yes	□No
(b) Public safety a	gencies.			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Emergency se	rvice providers.			✓ Yes	□No
c. Is an employee assigne	ed to develop and routinely update EIM	plans?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is the employee far	miliar with local resources and condition	ns?		✓ Yes	□No
(2) Is input obtained from	om uniformed and nonuniformed perso	nnel?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Is there adequate I	iaison with emergency response and su	upport agencies?		✓ Yes	□No
d. Have emergency incide	ent plans been evaluated?			✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do plans include co	ommand-specific information?			✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do plans contain a	clear statement of their purpose and o	bjectives?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Is there an ass	signment of responsibility commensura	te with appropriate authori	ty?	✓ Yes	□No
(b) Are there chec	cklists to assist in implementing the plar	ns?		✓ Yes	□No
(c) Is there a meth	nod for notifying off-duty personnel?			✓ Yes	□No
(d) What methods	are used for acquiring necessary supp	olies and equipment?			
Requisition p	rocess, maintain current on-hand suppl	ly			
(3) Do the plans refer	to ICS and CHP and/or command-spec	cific forms?		✓ Yes	□No
(4) Do the plans have agencies, Division	information regarding communication a and headquarters?	and coordination with other	r Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
(5) Are there plans for	hazard-specific incidents?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

0111	70011	(1100	. 6-66/ 61 1 666				
		(a)	Are there employee and property protection references	in the command's EAP?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Bomb incident procedures.			✓ Yes	□No
		✓ Yes	□No				
		✓Yes	□No				
		(e)	Radiation incidents.			✓ Yes	□No
		(f)	Earthquakes.			✓Yes	□No
		(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.			✓ Yes	□No
		(h)	Civil unrest.			✓ Yes	□No
		(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			✓ Yes	□No
		(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.			✓ Yes	□No
		(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, a	nd other emergency prod	cedures is required.	☐Yes	□No
	(6)	Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following informa	ation:			
		(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.		2 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11	✓ Yes	- □ No
		(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area a	nd other emergency ser	vice providers.	✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, person	onnel and material resou	rces.	✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.			✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Do	es the need for each plan still exists?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance	Emergency Incident Man ce Planning and Operation	nagement Planning ons Manual?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Can plans be tested?			✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Are they current?			✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	Do they work?			✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Do	es the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50 Operations Manual, or local plans?			✓ Yes	□No
2. T	RAIN	ING		EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
а	. Is th	nere	an awareness of local training requirements?	(10)		✓ Yes	□No
b	. Hav	/е ге	quired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and ι	se ICS in Area emergen	cies?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Hav	ve other Area employees received familiarization training	in ICS?		√ Yes	□No
	(2)		Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incideptance?	ent commanders and the	eir role in mission	✓Yes	□No
	(3)	Hav	ve managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the	use of HPG 50.3, Emerg	ency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	ls H	HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

011	1 4551	(1/ev. 0-00) OF1 009					
	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS for	ms and their use?		✓ Yes	☐ No	
	(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have receivemergency-related skills?	ved specialized training o	or possess special	✓ Yes	□No	
	(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in haz Departmental Training Manual?	ardous materials require	d by HPM 70.13,	✓ Yes	□No	
		(a) Are the records of required training current?			✓ Yes	□No	
	c. Ha	. Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?					
	(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of purproviders to explain the Department's role in EIM?	blic safety agencies and	emergency service	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service providerole?	ers attended Area trainir	ng to discuss their	✓ Yes	□No	
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agen	cies and EMS providers	?	Yes	√ No	
	(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all	participants?		Yes	✓ No	
3.	RELA	TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)	
	a. Do der	es the commander maintain a working relationship with pers partments, state and county traffic engineers and highway de	onnel from local sheriffs epartment personnel?	offices, police	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county as Services personnel?	nd regional state Office o	f Emergency	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?					□No	
	b. Do wit	es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervis n their counterparts in allied agencies?	ors to establish good wo	rking relationships	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-rela	ited committees, organiz	ations, or councils?	☐ Yes	✓ No	
	(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to c	conduct mutual aid contir	ngency planning?	✓ Yes	□No	
	c. Ha per	s the command developed written emergency incident plans sonnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to	to provide for effective u emergency incidents?	se of departmental	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Plans	Incident Management P ning and Operations Mar	lanning and nual?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agend	cies who have EIM respo	nsibility?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(3)	Are existing plans current?			✓ Yes	□No	
	(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?			✓ Yes	□No	
	(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?			✓ Yes	□No	
	(6)	Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance Management Planning and Operations Manual?	with HPM 50.1, Emerge	ncy Incident	✓ Yes	□No	
4.	REPO	RTING PROCEDURES	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	D	
		e reporting and documentation requirements for emergency in nagers, and supervisors?		the Area commander,	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report	of Unusual Occurrence?		✓ Yes	□No	
-							

, DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	(2)) Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, F	✓ Yes	□No			
	(3)	tion and ☑ Yes	□No				
		(a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E)) prepared?		✓ Yes	□No	
		(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, rele- the County Board of Supervisors and the County He		of hazardous materi	al to ✓ Yes	□No	
5. E	5. EMERGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES EVALUATED X ACTION REQUIRED X)	
a.	a. List problems Area experienced in exercising EIM. Prolonged response times due to harsh inclement weather (snow, icy cond						
	wh	hich causes extremely harsh road conditions in an overall I	arge geographical r	ıral area.			
	(1)) Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent re	currences of proble	ms?	✓ Yes	□No	
		(a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-c	ommand?			□No	
		(b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes ma	ade to Area plans?		✓ Yes	□No	
		(c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwa	rded through the ch	ain-of-command?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)) Have there been repeated problems with specific individu	uals or agencies?		☐ Yes	✓ No	
		(a) Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts t	o resolve the issues	? N/A	☐ Yes	□No	
		(b) If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified a	s required?	N/A	☐ Yes	□No	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Ukiah	Northern	
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Sergeant Chris	Paredes, #12165	09/14/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF			- Info	mal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE	1		
		Evaluation		mal Evaluation	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	All plula	DATE	
☐ Y		☑ No		Correction Report	I. D. Zell #	COMME TO SE	9/20	7/09
1. EM	ERG	SENCY INCIDI	ENT MAN	AGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	Area employe	es familia	with various departmental public	cations which provide for E	EIM planning?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	as c	outlined in HPN	1 50.1, En	nave a clear understanding of the nergency Incident Management F ind Operations Manual?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is this philoso	phy conve	eyed to:				
		(a) Subordin	ates.	19415			✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Public sa	fety agen	cies.			✓ Yes	□No
		(c) Emergen	cy service	providers.			✓ Yes	□No
C.	ls a	n employee as	signed to	develop and routinely update Ell	VI plans?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is the employ	ee familia	with local resources and conditi	ons?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is input obtain	ned from u	niformed and nonuniformed pers	sonnel?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is there adequ	uate liaiso	n with emergency response and	support agencies?		✓ Yes	□No
d.	Hav	e emergency	incident p	ans been evaluated?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do plans inclu	ıde comm	and-specific information?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Do plans con	tain a clea	r statement of their purpose and	objectives?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Is there a	n assignr	nent of responsibility commensu	ate with appropriate autho	ority?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Are there	checklist	s to assist in implementing the pl	ans?		✓ Yes	☐ No
		(c) Is there a	method f	or notifying off-duty personnel?	X		✓ Yes	□No
		(d) What me	thods are	used for acquiring necessary su	pplies and equipment? Ti	imely notification to the s	upervisor.	who in turn,
		will con	nmit the n	ecessary supplies and equipmen	t based upon each particul	ar incident. A list of Are	a's equipmo	ent inventory
		and reso	ources is a	vailable and secondary supplies/	equipment are available t	hrough the Office of Eme	rgency Ser	vices (OES).
-	(3)	Do the plans	refer to IC	S and CHP and/or command-sp	ecific forms?		✓ Yes	□No
	(4)			mation regarding communication headquarters?	n and coordination with oth	ner Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are there pla	ns for haz	ard-specific incidents?			✓ Yes	□ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

		(a)	Are there employee and property protection references	in the command's EAP?		√ Yes	□No
		(b)	Bomb incident procedures.			✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Fires.		.10	✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	Flood/dam failures.		-	√ Yes	□No
		(e)	Radiation incidents,			✓ Yes	□No
	-	(f)	Earthquakes.			✓ Yes	□No
		(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.			✓ Yes	□No
		(h)	Civil unrest.			✓ Yes	☐ No
-		(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			✓ Yes	☐ No
		(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.	***		✓ Yes	□No
		(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, a	and other emergency pro-	cedures is required.	Yes	✓ No
	(6)	Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following inform	ation:			
		(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.			✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area	and other emergency ser	vice providers.	✓ Yes	□No
_		(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, pers	onnel and material resou	irces.	✓ Yes	□No
ni derma		(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.			✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Do	es the need for each plan still exists?			✓ Yes	□No
MES	III DOM	(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturban			✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Can plans be tested?			✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Are they current?			✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	Do they work?			✓ Yes	□ No
	(8)	Do	es the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			✓ Yes	☐ No
		(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 5 Operations Manual, or local plans?			✓ Yes	□No
. т	RAINI	NG		EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
а	ls th	nere	an awareness of local training requirements?			✓ Yes	□No
b	Hav	e re	equired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and	use ICS in Area emerger	ncies?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	На	ve other Area employees received familiarization training	j in ICS?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incidentation proceptance?	dent commanders and the	eir role in mission	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	На	ve managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the	use of HPG 50.3, Emerg	gency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	ls l	HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	-					
	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS for	orms and their use?		√ Yes	□No
	(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have rece emergency-related skills?	ived specialized training o	or possess special	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in ha Departmental Training Manual?	zardous materials require	d by HPM 70.13,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Are the records of required training current?			✓ Yes	□ No
C.	Has	interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
= 31	(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of p providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	public safety agencies and	emergency service		□No
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service provirole?	iders attended Area trainir	ng to discuss their	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these age	encies and EMS providers	?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to a	Il participants?		✓ Yes	☐ No
3. R	ELAT	TONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
а		es the commander maintain a working relationship with per partments, state and county traffic engineers and highway o		offices, police	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county Services personnel?	and regional state Office o	of Emergency	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is the commander a member of emergency organizations	3?		✓ Yes	□No
b.		es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and superv n their counterparts in allied agencies?	risors to establish good wo	orking relationships	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-re	elated committees, organiz	ations, or councils?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to	conduct mutual aid conti	ngency planning?	✓ Yes	□No
C.		s the command developed written emergency incident plar sonnel and material resources in multi-agency responses		use of departmental	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergence Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Plant			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied age	ncies who have EIM respo	onsibility?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are existing plans current?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?			✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance Management Planning and Operations Manual?	ce with HPM 50.1, Emerge	ency Incident	✓ Yes	□No
4. R	REPO	RTING PROCEDURES	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
а		e reporting and documentation requirements for emergenc nagers, and supervisors?	y incidents understood by	the Area commander,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Repo	rt of Unusual Occurrence	?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(2)	Аге	Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?			✓ Yes	□No
(zardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84 dent Management Manual?	.2, Hazardous M	aterials Transportation and	 ✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared?			✓ Yes	□No		
	(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous material to the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?				✓ Yes	□No	
5. EME	ERG	EN	CY INCIDENT RESPONSES	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
a, l	List	prob	blems Area experienced in exercising EIM. Currently, th	e Ukiah Area has	a very good rapture with lo	cal Governm	ent agencies
	The	Uk	iah Area has no specific problems from either past or rec	ent incidents wit	h exercising EIM.		
((1)	Has	s follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recur	rences of problen	ns?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-com	mand?		✓ Yes	□No
		(p)	Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made	to Area plans?			□No
		(c)	Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarde	d through the cha	ain-of-command?		□No
((2)	Hav	ve there been repeated problems with specific individuals	or agencies?		☐ Yes	✓ No
		(a)	Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to re	esolve the issues?	?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as re	equired?	10.11	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING

- C. The current employee assigned to develop and routinely update EIM plans is offduty due to an illness and pending a medical retirement. The Ukiah Area will be reassigning these duties to another employee in the near future.
- D(4). Upon review of the directory information section, it was discovered that the manual contained an old listing of the California Highway Patrol Organizational Roster. The evaluator immediately replaced the roster with a current roster.
- D(5)k. The Ukiah Police Department (UPD) is responsible for and has jurisdiction over the Ukiah Municipal Airport. UPD has a policy in place to handle any incidents pertaining to the airport. UPD will request the CHP Ukiah Area for mutual assistance depending on the incident.

Department of California Highway Patrol AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16 EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING	Area Willows Area	Northern	Number 160
	Evaluated By \$	Sgt. B. Bonessa	Date 9/28/09

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary Statement. The Summary Statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Summary can be handwritten if desired.

Type of Evaluation ⊠Formal ☐Informal	Suspense Da	ate			
Follow-up Required ☐Yes ☐No	Correction Report by	M. // Commander	Mulyw s Review	Da	<u>/////////////////////////////////////</u>
1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT (EIM) PLANNING	NT MANAGEMENT	Evaluated	Action Required	Correct	ted
Are Area employees provide for EIM planning	familiar with various depa ?	rtmental publica	ations which	⊠Yes	□No
b. Does the Area comm philosophy and policy to	ander have a clear unders for EIM as outlined in HPN	standing of the // 50.1 and HPN	Department's 1 50.5?	⊠Yes	□No
(1) Is this philosophy	conveyed to:				
(a) Subordinates?				⊠Yes	□No
(b) Public safety a	gencies?			⊠Yes	□No
(c) Emergency service providers?					□No
c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely update EIM plans?					□No
(1) Is the employee fa	amiliar with local resource	s and condition	s?	⊠Yes	□No
(2) Is input obtained f	from uniformed and nonur	niformed persor	nnel?	⊠Yes	□No
(3) Is there adequate	liaison with emergency re	sponse and su	pport agencies?	⊠Yes	□No
d. Have emergency inci	dent plans been evaluated	d?		⊠Yes	□No
(1) Do plans include of	command-specific informa	ation?		⊠Yes	□No
(2) Do plans contain	a clear statement of their	purpose and ob	jectives?	⊠Yes	□No
(a) Is there an ass appropriate author	signment of responsibility of ity?	commensurate	with	⊠Yes	□No
(b) Are there chec	klists to assist in impleme	enting the plans	?	⊠Yes	□No
(c) Is there a meth	nod for notifying off-duty p	ersonnel?		⊠Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16 EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary supplies and equipment?		
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-specific forms?	⊠Yes	□No
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communication and coordination with other Areas, allied agencies, Division and headquarters?	⊠Yes	□No
(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?	⊠Yes	□No
(a) Are there employee and property protection references in the command's EAP?	⊠Yes	□No
(b) Bomb incident procedures?	⊠Yes	□No
(c) Fires?	⊠Yes	□No
(d) Flood/dam failures?	⊠Yes	□No
(e) Radiation incidents?	⊠Yes	□No
(f) Earthquakes?	⊠Yes	□No
(g) Tsunamis/coastal storms?	□Yes	⊠No
(h) Civil unrest?	⊠Yes	□No
(i) Other Area-specific emergencies?	⊠Yes	□No
(6) Do plans have supporting annexes with the following information:		
(a) Emergency Response Center Operations?	⊠Yes	□No
(b) Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area and other emergency service providers?	⊠Yes	□No
(c) Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resources?	⊠Yes	□No
(d) 72-hour self-sufficient operation?	⊠Yes	□No
(7) Does the need for each plan still exist?	⊠Yes	□No
(a) Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1 and HPM 50.5?	⊠Yes	□No
(b) Can plans be tested?	⊠Yes	□No
(c) Are they current?	⊠Yes	□No
(d) Do they work?	⊠Yes	□No
(8) Does the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?	⊠Yes	□No
(a) Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, HPM 50.5 or local plans?	⊠Yes	□No
2		

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16 EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

2. TRAINING	Evaluated	Action Required	Corrected	
a. Is there an awareness of local training	g requirements?		⊠Yes [□No
b. Have required employees been train	ed to initiate and use	ICS in emergencies?	? ⊠Yes [□No
(1) Have other Area employees rece	ived familiarization tra	aining in ICS?	⊠Yes [∃No
(2) Do Area personnel understand the and their role in mission acceptance?		incident commander]No
(3) Have managers, supervisors and	OICs been trained in	the use of HPG 50.3	3?⊠Yes ∣	□ No
(4) Is 50.3 readily available?			⊠ Yes □	No
(5) Are managers and supervisors fa	miliar with various IC	S forms and their use	e?⊠ Yes [□No
(6) Does the Area have a roster of entraining or possess special emergence		eceived specialized	⊠ Yes	□No
(7) Have employees been provided v required by HPM 70.13?	vith annual training in	hazardous materials	∑ Yes	□No
(a) Are the records of required tra	ining current?		⊠ Yes	☐ No
c. Are Area personnel trained to drive a	nd operate departme	ntal EIMVs?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
(1) Is there a list of trained drivers/op	erators in the emerge	ency plan or SOP?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
d. Has interagency training pertaining to	EIM been conducted	d?		☐ No
(1) Do Area personnel attend and pa and emergency service providers to e	rticipate in meetings explain the Departmen	of public safety agend nt's role in EIM?	cies ⊠ Yes	□No
(2) Have public safety agencies and Area training to discuss their role?	emergency service p	roviders attended	⊠ Yes	☐ No
(3) Do personnel participate in exerc	ises with these agend	cies/EMS providers?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
(4) Are exercise critiques conducted	and feedback given t	o all participants?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
3. RELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	Evaluated	Action Required	Corrected	
a. Does commander regularly confer w	ith judges, prosecutor	rs, public defenders?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
(1) Does he/she maintain a working departments, state/county traffic eng	ineers and highway d	epartment personne	l? ⊠ Yes	□ No
(2) Does he/she maintain a working of Emergency Services personal control of the	relationship with Cour onnel?	nty and Regional Sta	te	☐ No
(3) Is the commander a member of e	emergency organization	ons?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
 b. Does the commander encourage Are good working relationships with their commander. 	ea lieutenants and su unterparts in allied ag	pervisors to establish encies?	n ⊠ Yes	☐ No
(1) Are lieutenants and sergeants me organizations or councils?	embers of emergency	v-related committees,	, ⊠ Yes	☐ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(2) Have Area personnel met with all aid contingency planning?	lied agency personne	l to conduct mutual	⊠ Yes	□No
c. Has the command developed written effective use of departmental personnel responses to emergency incidents?		⊠ Yes		
(1) Are those plans in accordance wi	M 50.5?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
(2) Were the plans developed in coo have EIM responsibility?	gencies who	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
(3) Are existing plans current?				☐ No
(4) Do plans provide for adequate su	pervision?			☐ No
(5) Do plans conform to CHP policy?)		⊠ Yes	☐ No
(6) Has the command developed a w	vritten EAP in accorda	ance with HPM 50.1?	⊠ Yes	□ No
4. REPORTING PROCEDURES	Evaluated	Action Required	Corrected	*
Are reporting and documentation rec by the Area commander, managers and	quirements for emerg I supervisors?	ency incidents unders	tood ⊠ Yes	☐ No
(1) Are unusual occurrences reported	d per GO 100.80?		⊠ Yes	☐ No
(2) Are major state route closures re	ported per GO 100.4	6?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
(3) Hazardous material spills and rele	eases reported per H	PM 84.2?	⊠ Yes	□No
(a) Are Hazardous material incide	ent reports (CHP 407)	E) prepared?	⊠ Yes	□No
(b) Are there written procedures for dumping of hazardous material to and the County Health Officer?	or reporting spills, rel the County Board of	eases, and Supervisors	⊠ Yes	□No
5. EMERGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES	Evaluated	Action Required	Corrected	
a. List problems Area experienced in ex	xercising EIM.			
NONE W RECENT HISTOR	4			
(1) Has follow-up investigation been	conducted to prevent	recurrences?	☐ Yes	□ No N/
(a) Is the investigation forwarded	through the chain-of-	command?	☐ Yes	□ No /
(b) Are problems corrected and a	ppropriate changes n	nade to Area plans?	☐ Yes	□No
(c) Are corrected actions taken, d chain-of-command?	ocumented and forw	arded through the	☐ Yes	□No✓
(2) Have there been repeated proble	ms with specific indiv	viduals or agencies?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
(a) Has the Area commander made	de reasonable efforts	to resolve the issues	? 🗌 Yes	□ No N/A
CHP 453R (Rev 1-96)	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			Page 4

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16 EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(b) If not resolved, has the Division Chief been notified as required?	☐ Yes ☐ No Ni
COMMENTS	
CHP 453R (Rev 1-96)	Page 5

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16 EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING Page 6

1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING

- 1.a: Willows Area personnel review the Area Emergency Operations Plan and Emergency Action Plan yearly as part of their annual review (CHP118) process.
- 1.c: Willows Area Sergeant Tony Odell is the Area EIM coordinator and responsible for development and updating of EIM plans.
- 1.d: EIM plans are evaluated annually by both the Area commander and EIM coordinator.
- 1.d.(2).(a): Area responsibilities and authority are established and contained in the Area's Emergency Operations Plan (chapter one) and Emergency Action Plan per guidelines established in HPM 50.1, Emergency Management Planning and Operations Manual.
- 1.d.(2).(b): Use of ICS (Incident Command System) forms (CHP 701 through 710) are utilized to assist with planning, operations and after action reporting.
- 1.d.(2).(d): If circumstances exceed Area's inventory of supplies and equipment, a request for additional resources would be made through Northern Division.
- 1.d.(5): The Willows Area Emergency Operations Plan contains 16 individual chapters addressing hazard specific plans.
- 1.d.(5)(i): The Willows Area Emergency Operations Plan also contains a Disaster Plan submitted by Thunderhill Park (Raceway) in the event of an catastrophic event such as race car vs. multiple spectator or multi injury on-track collision.
- 1.d.(8): Guidelines for EIM are contained in the Willows Area SOP, Chapter 3.6.

2. TRAINING

- 2.b.: Officers receive FRO (First Responder Operational) training and Area management and supervisors receive HMIC (Hazardous Materials Incident Command) training. Each course of training utilizes the ICS (Incident Command System) of organization.
- 2.d.: Glenn County conducts disaster preparedness events annually, which is attended by Willows Area personnel for the purpose of training.

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16 EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING Page 7

3. RELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES

- 3.a.(2): Glenn County Sheriff's Lieutenant Phil Revolinski is the local Office of Emergency Services coordinator.
- 3.b.(1): Willows Area Sergeant Odell is actively involved in several emergency related committees with allied agencies, including the operations team for the Labor Day Flotilla on the Sacramento River and planning for the annual Glenn County Fair.
- 3.b.(2): As mentioned in section 3.b.(1), two major events occur in Glenn County annually which require mutual aid assistance and pre-planning. In addition to those two events, emergency meetings with allied agencies are called as needed for disasters that are occurring or are about to occur, such as fires, flooding, civil disturbance or other weather related disasters.

4. REPORTING PROCEDURES

- 4.a.(2): All incidents affecting traffic flow on state routes, such as prolonged roadway/lane closures or adverse weather conditions, are reported to the Transportation Management Center located in Sacramento.
- 4.a.(3)(b): Proposition 65 letters are sent in all documented hazardous material incidents to the Glenn County Board of Supervisors and Glenn County Health Department.

5. EMERGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES (Past Problems)

5.a: No recent incidents.

Memorandum

Date:

October 1, 2009

To:

Northern Division

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Willows Area

File No.:

160.13043

Subject:

2009 THIRD QUARTER CHAPTER INSPECTION

Please find attached the third quarter chapter inspection (Chapter 16) for the Willows Area. If you have any questions regarding this, please contact myself or Sergeant Brian Bonessa at (530) 934-5424.

M. W. MULGREW, Lieutenant

Commander

Attachments

CTATE	OF	CALIFORNIA
DINIE	U٢	CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

	+	or / Canspa	rency
AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER	
MOUNT SHASTA	NORTHERN	146	
EVALUATED BY		DATE	
LIEUTENANT J. R.	LEE	09/23/2009	

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report Yes No	COMMANDER'S REVIEW		DATE 09/23/20	09
1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNI	IG EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a. Are Area employees familiar with various departmenta	publications which provide for	or EIM planning?	✓ Yes	□No
 Does the Area commander have a clear understanding as outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Manager Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? 			✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this philosophy conveyed to:				
(a) Subordinates.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Public safety agencies.			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Emergency service providers.			✓ Yes	□No
c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely upda	te EIM plans?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is the employee familiar with local resources and o	onditions?		✓ Yes	□No
(2) Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniforme	personnel?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Is there adequate liaison with emergency response	and support agencies?		✓ Yes	□No
d. Have emergency incident plans been evaluated?			✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do plans include command-specific information?			✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpos	and objectives?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility comm	ensurate with appropriate au	thority?		□No
(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing	he plans?		✓ Yes	□No
(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty person	el?		✓ Yes	□No
(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary	ry supplies and equipment?			
** All purchases are pre approved by Division	n prior to any monies being	spent. **		
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or comma	d-specific forms?		✓ Yes	□No
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communi agencies, Division and headquarters?	cation and coordination with	other Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING**

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

	(a)	Are there employee and property protection references in	n the command's EAP?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Bomb incident procedures.			√ Yes	□No
	(c)	Fires.			✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	Flood/dam failures.			✓ Yes	□No
	(e)	Radiation incidents.			✓ Yes	□No
	(f)	Earthquakes.			✓ Yes	□No
	(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.			✓ Yes	□No
	(h)	Civil unrest.	-14		✓ Yes	□No
	(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			✓ Yes	□No
	(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.			✓ Yes	□No
	(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, an	nd other emergency proc	edures is required.	✓ Yes	□No
(6)	Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following informa	tion:			
	(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.			✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area a	nd other emergency serv	rice providers.	✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, person	onnel and material resour	ces.	✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.			✓ Yes	□No
(7)	Do	es the need for each plan still exists?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, I and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance	Emergency Incident Man be Planning and Operation	agement Planning ns Manual?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Can plans be tested?			✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Are they current?			✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	Do they work?			Yes	□No
(8)) Do	es the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			Yes	□No
	(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict v Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50 Operations Manual, or local plans?			✓ Yes	□No
2. TRAII	NING		EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
a. Is	there	an awareness of local training requirements?	12	L	✓ Yes	□No
b. Ha	ave r	equired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and u	ise ICS in Area emergen	cies?	√ Yes	□No
(1)) Ha	ve other Area employees received familiarization training	in ICS?		✓ Yes	□No
(2)	•	Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incide ceptance?	ent commanders and the	ir role in mission	✓ Yes	□No
(3)) Ha	ive managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the	use of HPG 50.3, Emerg	ency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
		HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?			✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

	(5) Are managers and supervis	ors familiar with various !	ICS forms and their use?		✓ Yes	□No
	(6) Does the Area have a roste emergency-related skills?	r of employees who have	received specialized train	ning or possess special	√ Yes	□No
	(7) Have employees been prov Departmental Training Man		in hazardous materials re-	quired by HPM 70.13,	√ Yes	□No
	(a) Are the records of requ	ired training current?			✓ Yes	□No
C.	Has interagency training pertain	ing to EIM been conducte	ed?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do area personnel attend a providers to explain the Dep		s of public safety agencies	and emergency service	√ Yes	□No
	(2) Have public safety agencies role?	and emergency service	providers attended Area t	training to discuss their	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(3) Do Area personnel participa	ate in exercises with thes	e agencies and EMS prov	iders?	Yes	☐ No
	(4) Are exercise critiques cond	ucted and feedback giver	n to all participants?		✓ Yes	□No
RI	ELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED A	GENCIES	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
а.	Does the commander maintain departments, state and county t				✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does he/she maintain a wo Services personnel?	rking relationship with co	unty and regional state Of	ffice of Emergency	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Is the commander a member	er of emergency organiza	ations?		✓ Yes	□No
b.	Does the commander encourag with their counterparts in allied		upervisors to establish god	od working relationships	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Are lieutenants and sergea	nts members of emerger	ncy-related committees, or	ganizations, or councils?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have Area personnel met v	vith allied agency person	nel to conduct mutual aid	contingency planning?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Has the command developed w personnel and material resource				✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Are those plans in accorda Operations Manual, and HF				√ Yes	□No
	(2) Were the plans developed	in coordination with allied	d agencies who have EIM	responsibility?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Are existing plans current?				✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Do plans provide for adequ	ate supervision?			✓ Yes	□No
	(5) Do plans conform to CHP p	policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(6) Has the command develop Management Planning and		rdance with HPM 50.1, Er	nergency Incident	✓ Yes	□No
R	EPORTING PROCEDURES		EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
•		on requirements for emer	rgency incidents understor	ad by the Area commander		_
-	Are reporting and documentation managers, and supervisors?	on requirements for exiter	rgency molderns understor	su by the rueu communicati	✓ Yes	☐ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

O		(1100	. 6 55, 61 1655				
	(2)	Аге	e major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?				□No
	(3)	3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transportation and Incident Management Manual?					□ No
	(a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared?			✓ Yes	□No		
	(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous material to the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?				✓ Yes	□No	
5. EI	EMERGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES EVALUATED X					CORRECTE	O .
a.	Lis	t pro	blems Area experienced in exercising EIM.				
	No	issu	es have occurred in this command.				
	(1)	Has	s follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recu	urrences of proble	ms?	☐ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-cor	nmand?		☐Yes	□No
		(b)	Are problems corrected and appropriate changes mad	e to Area plans?		☐Yes	□ No
		(c)	Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forward	led through the ch	ain-of-command?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	(2)	Ha	ve there been repeated problems with specific individua	ls or agencies?		☐Yes	☑ No
		(a)	Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to	resolve the issues	?	☐ Yes	□ No
		(b)	If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as	required?		☐ Yes	☐ No

Mount Shasta Area is a fairly small command. Staff continually discuss Emergency Incident Response Issues and what resources are available from allied agencies.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL
AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION
EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING
CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA Radding	DIVISION	NUMBER 135	
Redding Northern EVALUATED BY Lieutenant T. Garr, #19312		08/17/2009	

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

	in or perion, and the coppletions	SUSPENSE DATE			
PPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation	nformal Evaluation			15.000	
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED	☑ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	V	DATE	
☑ Yes □ No	BY November 15, 2009	124	-uT ·	08/21/2009	
4 EMERGENCY INCIDENT N	MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	F ALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED Yes	CORRECTED	
	niliar with various departmental public	(A) 50 (8) (8)	for EIM planning?	√ Yes	□No
b. Does the Area comman	der have a clear understanding of the , Emergency Incident Management P ing and Operations Manual?	Denartment's philoso	ophy and policy for EIM	[Yes	□ No
(1) Is this philosophy o	onveyed to:				
(a) Subordinates.				✓ Yes	□ No
(b) Public safety a	gencies.			✓ Yes	□ No
(c) Emergency se	rvice providers.			✓ Yes	□ No
c. Is an employee assigne	ed to develop and routinely update EIN	/ plans?		✓ Yes	□ No
	miliar with local resources and conditi			✓ Yes	□ No
	om uniformed and nonuniformed pers			✓ Yes	□ No
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	liaison with emergency response and			√ Yes	□N□
d. Have emergency incide					□No
	command-specific information?			✓ Yes	□No
	a clear statement of their purpose and	objectives?		✓ Yes	□No
, , ,	signment of responsibility commensu		authority?	✓ Yes	□No
	cklists to assist in implementing the p			✓ Yes	□No
	thod for notifying off-duty personnel?			✓ Yes	□No
(d) What method	is are used for acquiring necessary st	ipplies and equipmer	nt? Area maintains an inven	tory of essenti	ial supplies
through the	quarterly requisition process. Addition	onally, Area has estal	blished a good working relat	ionship with t	the Shasta
	riff's Department, Office of Emergen				
	r to ICS and CHP and/or command-sy			✓ Yes	□ No
(4) Do the plans have	e information regarding communication and headquarters?		ilth other Areas, allied	☑ Yes	□No
	or hazard-specific incidents?			Yes	□No
	·				

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

453R (Rev	6-08) OPI 009	☑ Yes	□ No		
(a)		✓ Yes	□No		
(b)	b) Bamb incident procedures.				
	Fires.	✓ Yes	No		
	Flood/dam failures.	Yes	☑ No		
(e)	Radiation incidents.	☑ Yes	□ No		
(f)	Earthquakes.	Yes	☑ No		
(g)	Tsunamis/coastal stoms.	☑ Yes	□ No		
(h)	Civil unrest.		□No		
(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.	☑ Yes			
(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.	☐ Yes	Ø No		
(k	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, and other emergency procedures is required.	✓ Yes	□ No		
(6) D	plans have supporting annexes with the following information:		-		
(z		✓ Yes	□ No		
(t	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area and other emergency service providers.	✓ Yes	□ No		
	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resources.	√ Yes	□ No		
	72-hour self-sufficient operation.	✓ Yes	_ N₀		
(7) C	pes the need for each plan still exists?	Yes	No		
	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?		□No		
() Can plans be tested?		□No		
+	c) Are they current?	Yes	□No		
	i) Do they work?		□ No		
	Does the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?	Yes	☑ No		
	a) Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual, or local plans?	□Yes	☑ No		
The America	EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED	N/A	ĘD.		
TRAINII		✓ Yes	□ No		
a. Is th	ere an awareness of local training requirements?	✓ Yes	□ No		
	required employees been trained to initiate, maintain and use ICS in Area emergencies?				
(1)	Have other Area employees received familiarization training in ICS?				
(2)	Do Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incident commanders and their role in mission acceptance?	☑ Ye	No.		
(3)	Have managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the use of HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide?	☑ Ye	B □ No		
	1 m 1 v 11 m 1 g 1 1 1 1 7 m 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	√ Ye	s 🗆 No		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

453	Re'	v. 6-06) OPI 009			_		and their up	~?		☑ Yes	□ No
(5) Аг	re mar	nagers and	superviso	rs familiar	with vario	ous ICS form	ns and their use		nacess special		
(6	еп	mergel	ncy-related	skills?						ossess special	✓ Yes	□ No
(7	7) H:	lave et	mployees b	een provid	ied with ar	nual trai	ning in heza	rdous materials	s required b	y HPM 70.13,	☑ Yes	□No
4			the record			g current	?				✓ Yes	□ No
-8			ency trainir								✓ Yes	□ No
-	4	30 pto:	a personne	l attend ar	nd participa	ate in me	etings of put	olic safety ager	ncies and en	nergency service	✓ Yes	□No
(2) +	lave p	ublic safety	y agencies	and emer	gency se	ervice provid	ers attended A	rea training	to discuss their	√Yes	□No
			a personne	el participe	te in exerc	dises with	these agen	cies and EMS	providers?		✓ Yes	□No
								participants?	5		✓ Yes	□No
REI	ATIO	ONSH	IPS WITH	ALLIED A	GENCIES	one ^{lla} in		Yes		NO NO	N/A	· ·
а.	Does depa	s the c	ommander ts, state an	maintain and county t	a working i raffic engir	relations neers and	nip with pers d highway de	onnel from loca	al sheriff's o onnel?	ffices, police	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Does		ntain a wo				nd regional sta		Emergency		□No
-	(2)	is the	commande	er a memb	er of emer	gency or	ganizations?	,			Yes	☑ No
	Does	s the o		r encourag	ge Area liet	utenants			ih good wad	king relationships	✓ Yes	□No
							nergency-rel	ated committee	es, organiza	itions, or councils?	☐ Yes	☑ No
-	(2)	Have	Area perso	nnel met	with allied	agency p	personnel to	conduct mutua	ıl aid conting	gency planning?		
	1100	. Ab a su			vritten eme	ardency in	ncident plans		effective us	se of departmental	✓ Yes	□No
				in conceds	ango with h	4PM 50 1	1 Emerdenc	y Incident Man ning and Ope	agement Pl	anning and ual?	✓ Yes	□ No
								ncies who have			✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)	_	xisting pla									□ No
	(4)		lans provid			 rvision?	4				✓ Yes	□ No
-	(5)	-	lans confor								√ Yes	□ No
	(6)	Has		and develo	ped a writt	ten EAP	in accordant	ce with HPM 50).1, Emerge	ncy Incident	[☑ Yes	
-	· ·		5 17			7)	7 7	EVALUATED		No No	N/A	TEO
			PROCED		Annale and an are		10	Yes	terstand by			
a	Are	e repo anagei	rting and d rs, and sup	ocumental ervisors?	tion require	aments fo	or emergenc	y moidents one	Lucioco o y	the Area commande	✓ Yes	s \ \ \ \ \ \
		750					nn an Bent	ort of Unusual C	Decurrence?)	☑ Ye	s 🗆 N

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

HP 453R	(Rev. 6-06) OPI 009			✓ Yes	□No	
(2)	Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Rep	orting of Highway	Conditions?	- T-		
(3)	and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transportation and					
	control incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared?					
	(a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (5.1) (b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous material to the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?					
. EMER	GENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES	EVALUATED Yes	NO NO	N/A) 	
a. Lie	t problems Area experienced in exercising EIM. Nonc.					
(4)	Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recu	urrences of problem	1\$?	☑ Yes	□No	
(1)	the chain-of-con			☑ Yes	☐ No	
	and an analysis spenges made to Area blans?					
	decumented and forward		ain-of-command?	✓ Yes	□No	
and the state of the specific individuals of approies?					☑ No	
(2	ti de la constanta de constante afforta to		?	✓ Yes	☐ No	
	(a) Has the Area commander made reasonable endris to (b) If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as		*	√ Yes	□ No	
	(b) It not resolved, that the Division the poor themse to	1				

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION SUPPLEMENT

HP 454 (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009	DATE:						
UBJECT:	COMMENTS						
SECTIONS	Area Emergency Incident Management Planning (EIM) does not contain specific guidance for						
CHP 453R, 1 d. (5) (c)	radiation incidents. Area will revise the EIM to include such guidance.						
	radiation incidents. Area will revise do						
	Area is located approximately 150 miles inland from the Pacific Ocean. A coastal storm and/or						
CHP 453R, 1 d. (5) (g)	Area is located approximately 150 miles man and stress other than a mutual aid response tsunami would have minimal impact on Area operations other than a mutual aid response						
	which is addressed in the EIM.						
	Area Emergency Incident Management Planning (EIM) does not contain specific guidance for						
CHP 453R, 1 d. (5) (j)	terrorist incidents. Area will revise the EIM to include such guidance.						
	Lettorist moreculary y and						
CHP 453R, 1 d. (8)	Area Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) does not contain specific guidance related to EIM. Area						
CHP 453K, 1 d. (0)	will include such guidance upon the next revision of the SOP.						
,							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Destroy Previous Editions 5454_						